# DNA-protein electrostatic recognition: analysis of Protein Data Bank structures of DNA-protein complexes

<u>A. G. Cherstvy<sup>1</sup>, A. B. Kolomeisky<sup>2</sup>, and A. A. Kornyshev<sup>3</sup></u>

<sup>1</sup> Institut für Festkörperforschung, Theorie-II, Forschungszentrum Jülich, D-52425 Jülich, Germany

<sup>2</sup> Department of Chemistry, Rice University, Houston, Texas 77005, USA

<sup>3</sup> Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Natural Sciences, Imperial College London, SW7 2AZ, London, UK

### Introduction to protein-DNA interaction and recognition

• DNA-protein recognition is vital for many biological processes (e.g., gene expression and regulation)

• Extreme diversity of proteins: humans ~500 000 proteins, ~ 25 000 genes.

• Protein classes: gene regulatory (transcription factors), repair proteins, structural proteins (**histones**), processing proteins (RNA Poly), etc.

• Main interactions: hydrogen bonding (HB), **electrostatic** (**DNA/proteins**), hydrophobic, van der Waals forces.

- Protein recognition motifs: helix-turn-helix, zinc finger, leucine zipper.
- Complex and rather probabilistic code of DNA-protein recognition.

• Protein-DNA binding affinity: **DNA sequence**, pH, [salt], *T*, helper proteins, DNA 3D conformation, etc.

• Physical mechanisms behind electrostatic DNA-protein interactions.

### Electrostatic DNA-protein interactions: *lac* repressor



Enormous dependence of *lac* repressor association binding constant K on [salt]

0.3

, 1/2

0.4

0.2

Winter & von Hippel: Electrostatic DNA-protein interactions are largely sequence non-specific !?

Hydrogen bonds with DNA bases: DNA-protein recognition code



• HB donors and acceptors determine the unique code of DNA-protein HB interactions; HB strength is 1-5  $k_BT$ 

• HB formation preferences in DNA-protein complexes: Arg NH1/NH2 and Lys NZ with O6 and N7 of Guanine, Asn and GIn with Adenine, Glu and Asp with Cytosine.

N. M. Luscombe et al., Nucl. Acids Res., 29 2860 (2001)

# Electrostatic potential of *lac* repressor



• Protein residues Lysine ( $pK_a=10$ ), Arginine (12), Histidine (6.5) are in close proximity to DNA phosphates

• DNA-induced charge patterns on proteins that are recognized by DNA?

Positive protein charges "love" DNA: sequence specificity of interactions?





Nitrogens NZ on Lys, NH1/NH2 on Arg, and ND1 on His



Oxygens OD1/OD2 of Asp and OE1/OE2 of Glu.



NCP stability ([salt])

# B-DNA charge and structure non-ideality

-1  $e_0$  per each 1.7 Å along DNA axis



The 10 Twist Angles of B-DNA	
Dinucleotide	Twist Angle (h)
$(AA) \cdot (TT)$	$35.6 \pm 0.1$
$(AC) \cdot (GT)$	$34.4 \pm 1.3$
$(AG) \cdot (CT)$	$27.7\pm1.5$
$(AT) \cdot (AT)$	$31.5\pm1.1$
$(CA) \cdot (TG)$	$34.5 \pm 0.9$
$(CC) \cdot (GG)$	$33.7 \pm 0.1$
$(CG) \cdot (CG)$	$29.8 \pm 1.1$
$(GA) \cdot (TC)$	$36.9 \pm 0.9$
$(GC) \cdot (GC)$	$40.0 \pm 1.2$
$(TA) \cdot (TA)$	$36.0\pm1.0$

W. Kabsch et al., Nucl. Acids Res., 10 1097 (1982)W. K. Olson et al., PNAS, 95 11163 (1998)

DNA corrugated structure is recognized by proteins

# Model



- Extract atomic coordinates from PDB files of protein-DNA complexes (Math 6)
- Identify closest protein N<sup>+</sup> charges, *R*~/<sub>B</sub>~7Å
- $s_{1,2}$  on the **same** DNA strand; DNA direction
- Histogram of  $s_1$ - $s_2$  distribution
- If uniform distr.  $\Rightarrow$  no DNA sequence specificity
- Two-peaks distr. ⇒ protein N<sup>+</sup> follow DNA P<sup>-</sup>
- As 3D DNA structure is sequence specific, individual P<sup>-</sup> are tracked by Lys and Arg
- Complementary DNA-protein interaction lattices
- Sequence-specific electrostatic interactions

### Protein positive residues and DNA negative charges

Arginine: N CA C O CB CG CD NE CZ NH1 NH2  $10^{th}$  and  $11^{th}$  atoms are N



Lysine: N CA C O CB CG CD CE NZ  $9^{th}$  atom is N



Histidine: N CA C O CB CG ND1 CD2 CE1 NE2 7<sup>th</sup> atom is N, charged or neutral



# Nucleosomes: DNA-wrapping proteins of eukaryotes



K. Luger et al., Nature, 389 251 (1997)

# Results for $s_1$ - $s_2$ in NCPs: 75-100 N<sup>+</sup> close, 160-230 in total



12

10

 $N^+$ , 2nqb

 $N^{+}, 1m18$ 

2

οĽ

10

6

2

0

12

10

8

6

 $^{-4}$  $^{-2}$ 0

 $N^+$ , 2f8n 8 -4 -20 2

-4

*s*<sub>1</sub>-*s*<sub>2</sub>, Å

-2 0 2

-4 -2 0 2

 $s_1$ – $s_2$ , Å

 $s_1 - s_2$ , Å

2

 $s_1-s_2$ , Å

 $s_1-s_2$ , Å





Sum of all complexes: frog, human, fruit fly, chicken NCPs

145 bp: 1nzd, 2f8n, 1u35

147 bp: 1kx5, 2fj7, 2pyo

### Prokaryotic DNA-bending proteins also reveal two peaks





Complexes analyzed: 2np2, 1ihf, 1p51,1p71, 1p78, 1ouz, 1owf, 1owg

U-turn like severe bending of DNA

# Main DNA-binding motifs of proteins



Helix-turn-Helix, **λ repressor**, 1lmb.pdb: 2 α-helices in major groove, HB with DNA bases, ES with phosphates

Zinc finger, Zif268, 1aay.pdb:  $3 \alpha$ -helices in major groove, each finger recognizes 3 bps, HB+ES

Leucine zipper, GCN4, 1ysa.pdb: 2 consecutive major grooves are recognized by 2 long bound αhelices, HB+ES

# Basic DNA-binding protein motifs: uniform distributions and no sequence-specificity



1aay, 1a1l, 1p47, 1jk1, 1jk2, 1a1f, 1a1g, 1a1j, 1a1k, 1a1h, 1a1i, 1zaa, 1g2f, 1g2d, 1f2i, 1llm, 1mey, 1ubd, 1tf3, 2jp9, 2gli, 3dfx

1ysa, 2c9l, 2c9n, 2h7h, 1d66, 1fos, 1gu5, 1hjb, Repressors (1osl, 1l1m, 2bjc, 1cjg; 1lmb, 3bdn, 6cro, 1lli, 1rio; 1par, 1bdt, 1bdv, 2bnz, 2cax; 1au7, 2or1, 1per, 3cro, 1rpe, 2p5l, 1gt0, 1hf0, 1ic8, 1o4x, 2r1j) and CAP proteins (1cgp, 1zrc, 1zrd, 1zre, 1zrf, 1o3q, 1o3r, 1o3s, 1j59, 1run, 2cgp),

# Conclusions and outlook

- For large DNA-protein complexes, NCP and HU, tracking of individual DNA phosphates.
- DNA-induced  $s_{ph}$ ~7 Å charge periodicity along DNA-protein interfaces.
- Up to 100 charge-charge contacts, large 10-30  $k_{\rm B}T$  energy profit due to complementarity of DNA-protein charge lattices.
- Recognition of native and strongly bound DNA sequences.
- Nucleosome positioning on DNA, together with sequence-specific DNA bending code.
- 146 vs. 145/147 bp DNA NCPs. Different DNA affinities to histones? No data.

• For **small** complexes, with 5-10 ES contacts, no statistical preference and weak/no sequence specificity of ES interactions.

- Electrostatics is weak and other interactions contribute to recognition (HB).
- Interplay of HB+ES : future research.

- A. G. Cherstvy, A. B. Kolomeisky, and A. A. Kornyshev, J. Phys. Chem. B, 112 4741 (2008).
- A. G. Cherstvy, J. Phys. Chem. B, 113 4242 (2009).

# Thank you

Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft

DFG

Grant CH 707/2-1



# NCP positioning code



E. Segal et al., Nature 442 772 (2006)

#### Lac repressor contacts with DNA

hydrogen bonding hydrophobic electrostatic



Electrostatic contacts are believed to be sequence-nonspecific, while hydrogen bonding is highly sequence specific

C. G. Kalodimos et al., Science, 305 386 (2004)

# Sliding vs. Spiraling electrostatic barriers vs. hydrodynamic friction



stronger hydrodynamic drag and smaller  $D_1$ :  $D_1=5\times10^{-9}$  cm<sup>2</sup>/s

• Old experiments (Blomberg):  $D_1 = 3 \times 10^{-9} \text{ cm}^2/\text{s}$ 

M. J. Schurr, Biophys. Chem., 9 41 (1979)

Model of DNA-protein recognition: charge complementarity



- Random charge displacements mimic bp specific nonideality of DNA/protein structure
- Long-range correlations  $z_n = nh + \Delta_n$
- Recognition region -- similar charge variations  $\Delta_n = \delta_m$  -- stronger DNA-protein attraction
- Potential well near the homology region

# Artificial charge periodicity in protein DNA-binding domains



• Periodicity of  $\approx 7$  Å and  $\approx 34$  Å is expected from PDB data analysis.

• Next step: backbone elasticity + DNA helicity + PDB files analysis + computer simulations of protein diffusion

#### Macroscopic qualitative model of protein diffusion in DNA coil



- Every cycle: 3D diffusion in solution + 1D sliding along DNA
- [Protein] in solution  $c_p = n_p/V$  and on DNA  $c_{ads} = n_{ads}/V$
- Volume of DNA coil  $\sim Lr^2$

# Mechanisms of protein diffusion on DNA



Actual diffusion is a combination of these basic steps

J. Marko et al., Nucl. Acids Res., 32 3040 (2004)

#### Time of target search: 3D + 1D



van Kampen: Mean First Passage Time for 1 cycle

Diffusion coefficient profile

Non-equilibrium protein adsorption constant on DNA; equilibrium:  $y=k_{on}/k_{off}$ 

Free energy profile: no DNA bp specificity

3D + 1D + correlation term (missing previously) protein unbinding before travelling length  $\lambda$  on DNA

Total search time along DNA of length *L*:  $\alpha=1$ : random protein attachment every step  $\alpha>1$ : super-diffusion

#### Total search time vs. Smoluchovski time



Length of 3D path

Rates of protein binding and unbinding

Optimal sliding length  $\lambda$ 

Smoluchovski 3D diffusion rate to a drain of radius *a* 

Final ratio of search times

At equilibrium,  $y_{eff}=1$ , d << 1, correlation term

#### Minimal search time at intermediate y and $n_p$ values



• Diffusion times faster than Smoluchovski

- As  $n_{ads}$  grows,  $\tau$  decreases -- parallel search of DNA by many proteins
- Dotted curves: without correlation term -
- wrong results

Part 2: Electrostatic key-lock mechanism of protein-DNA recognition

#### Electrostatic DNA-protein interaction and recognition energy



#### Electrostatic recognition energy $\Delta W$



$$M = 11, R = 10 \text{ Å}, \varepsilon_c = 2, h = 3.4 \text{ Å}, \delta^2 = \Delta^2, \Omega = 1 \text{ Å}$$

- Well is accompanied by the barriers
- Well depth is several  $k_B T$
- Narrow wells: no "funnels" for protein diffusion
- Screening makes wells shallower
- Well depth *d* grows linearly with *M*
- *d* scales as  $1/R^3$  at  $\kappa=0$  and as  $e^{-\kappa R}$  with salt

Protein residence time in the well



- Wells of  $\sim k_B T$  in depth slow down protein diffusion
- $\bullet$  Enough time to provoke protein conformational changes ( $\mu s\text{-}ms)$  and to induce stronger protein binding to DNA
- ES DNA-protein recognition is the first step of protein docking
- Stronger Hydrogen Bonding interactions can be formed afterwards

Thank you

cond-mat: 0708.0021

Funny energy barriers: Coulomb case



At  $R^2 > 2\Delta z^2$  fluctuations of charges always *reduce* their attraction energy



#### Electrostatic DNA-protein interactions: lac repressor



Upon sliding, condensed cations are removed in front and they bind back on DNA behind the protein.

Electrostatic DNA-protein interactions are largely sequence non-specific?



Enormous dependence of *lac* repressor association binding constant *K* on [salt]

[complex] K =·M [DNA][protein]

#### Simple computer test

- single protein hopping randomly to left/right
- random target location
- random protein attachment point
- average over 5 runs
- L=20000,  $\lambda$  = 50, 100, 200



#### Interaction-induced folding and conformational adaptation



C. G. Kalodimos et al., Science, 305 386 (2004)

#### *Lac* repressor: $D_1 << D_3$



- Brownian Protein Motion with large  $D_1$  variations
- Extract  $D_1$  from Mean Square Displacements of proteins
- Experiment (Austin):  $D_1: D_1=2\times 10^{-10} \text{ cm}^2/\text{s}$
- Experiment  $D_3: D_3 = 4 \times 10^{-7} \text{ cm}^2/\text{s}$

R. Austin et al., Phys. Rev. Lett., 97 048302 (2006)

# DNA loops formed by *lac* repressor



# Electrostatic potential of RNA Polymerase II



R. D. Kornberg et al., Science, 292 1863 (2001)

#### Looping uncharged elastic rods: buckling instability

Elasticity theory: 2D and 3D elastica, Euler and Kirchhoff -- local balance of forces and moments Excess twist energy  $E_{tw}$  turns into loop bending energy  $E_{b}$ 

Every loop removes about  $2\pi$  of the excess twist Tw:  $\tau = \tau_0 - 2\pi/L$ 



Looping of submarine cables [J. Coyne, IEEE J. Ocean. Ing., 15 72 (1990)]

 $C = k_B T l_{tw}$  -- twist modulus,  $l_{tw} = 750$  Å  $B = k_B T l_p$  -- bend modulus,  $l_p = 500$  Å

 $A = \sqrt{B/F}, \quad A^2 = 1 - C^2 \tau^2 / (4BF)$  $K^2(s) = 4FA^2 / \cosh[As]^2 - \text{curve curvature}$ 

 $E_b=4FAA$  -- loop bending energy

 $F_0 > C^2 \tau^2 / (4B)$  -- force to keep cable straight

 $\Delta L=4AA$  -- cable slack upon looping

$$\vec{r}(s) = \left\{ 2A\Lambda \sin\left[\frac{s\sqrt{1-A^2}}{\Lambda}\right] / \cosh\left[\frac{As}{\Lambda}\right], -2A\Lambda \cos\left[\frac{s\sqrt{1-A^2}}{\Lambda}\right] / \cosh\left[\frac{As}{\Lambda}\right], s - 2A\Lambda \tanh\left[\frac{As}{\Lambda}\right] \right\}$$

#### Looping charged rods: limitations of OSF theory

$$E_{el}(r) = \frac{e^2}{\varepsilon r} e^{-\kappa r}$$

$$\kappa = \sqrt{8\pi l_B n_0}$$

1/κ≈10Å in physiological solution

Optimal loop shape in 3D is a complicated problem: non-locality, self-contacts.

Screened interactions of charges

> $E_{\rm el}$  of loops with Debye-Hückel interactions: OSF electrostatic rod stiffening works only for large loops  $R >> 1/\kappa$  with no close contacts

 $l_{\rm p} \rightarrow l_{\rm p,el} = l_{\rm p} + l_{\rm B}/(4\kappa^2 h^2)$ 

*h* is charge-charge separation

Applicability of OSF to tight DNA loops

Numerical summation of the Debye-Hückel potentials along the loop contour

$$\Delta E_{\rm el} = E_{\rm el}^{\rm looped} - E_{\rm el}^{\rm straight}$$