Active cargo positioning in antiparallel transport networks
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Cytoskeletal filaments assemble into dense parallel, antiparallel, or disordered networks, providing a complex environment for active cargo transport and positioning by molecular motors. The interplay between the network architecture and intrinsic motor properties clearly affects transport properties but remains poorly understood. Here, by using surface micropatterns of actin polymerization, we investigate stochastic transport properties of colloidal beads in antiparallel networks of overlapping actin filaments. We found that 200-nm beads coated with myosin Va motors displayed directed movements toward positions where the net polarity of the actin network vanished, accumulating there. The bead distribution was dictated by the spatial profiles of local bead velocity and diffusion coefficient, indicating that a diffusion-drift process was at work. Remarkably, beads coated with heavy-mero-myosin II motors showed a similar behavior. However, although velocity gradients were steeper with myosin II, the much larger bead diffusion observed with this motor resulted in less precise positioning. Our observations are well described by a 3-state model, in which active beads locally sense the net polarity of the network by frequently detaching from and reattaching to the filaments. A stochastic sequence of processive runs and diffusive searches results in a biased random walk. The precision of bead positioning is set by the gradient of net actin polarity in the network and by the run length of the cargo in an attached state. Our results unveiled physical rules for cargo transport and positioning in networks of mixed polarity.

Significance

Cellular functions rely on small groups of molecular motors to transport their cargoes throughout the cell along polar filaments of the cytoskeleton. Cytoskeletal filaments self-assemble into dense networks comprising intersections and filaments of mixed polarity, challenging directed motor-based transport. Using micropatterns of actin polymerization in vitro, we investigated stochastic transport of colloidal beads in antiparallel networks of overlapping actin filaments. We found that beads coated with myosin motors sensed the net polarity of the actin network, resulting in active bead positioning to regions where the net polarity of the actin network vanished and with a higher precision than the characteristic length associated with polarity gradients of the network. A theoretical description of the transport process at the coarse-grained level was able to clarify the physical rules underlying active cargo positioning in antiparallel networks depending on motor properties and filament-polarity gradients.
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Results
Antiparallel Actin Networks of Controlled Architecture. We designed surface micropatterns of a nucleation-promoting factor to control the geometry and collective organization of growing actin filaments (18, 19). Our patterns were composed of parallel nucleation lines spaced by a distance $L \sim 40$ µm. Actin filaments extended perpendicularly to the nucleation lines by monomer incorporation to their barbed ends; the pointed ends of the filaments were localized on the pattern, whereas the barbed ends moved away from the nucleation zones. After ~10 min of polymerization, filaments growing in opposite directions from adjacent lines overlapped, yielding an antiparallel network (Fig. 1). Inclusion of methylcellulose as a depleting agent ensured that most of the network remained within ~200 nm of the glass surface (20), thus forming an actin sheet.

We took advantage of the nucleation line at one edge of the pattern to characterize the architecture of a parallel actin network without interference from actin filaments coming in the opposite direction from a neighboring nucleation line. In this case, the actin density profile along an axis—defined as the orientation perpendicular to the nucleation line—followed an exponential decay $\rho(X) \propto \exp(-X/\lambda)$ (Fig. 1B). There, the decay length $\lambda = 8.2 \pm 1.1$ µm ($n = 29$) corresponds to the mean length of actin filaments in the network.

In between 2 parallel nucleation lines, the actin density profile of the antiparallel network displayed mirror symmetry about the midline, where the density was minimal. In the following, the position of the midline was set at $X = 0$. Corresponding to this symmetry, the profile $\rho(X) = \rho(X) + \rho(-X)$ was described by the sum of 2 mirror-symmetric exponentials $\rho(X) = (p_0/2)\exp(\pm X/\lambda)$; equivalently, $\rho(X) = p_0 \cosh(\lambda/X)$, with $p_0$ being the total actin density at the center (Fig. 1C). The mean filament length $\lambda$ was indistinguishable from that measured with a single line at the edge of the pattern. We define the net polarity of the actin network as $\Phi(X) = \{p(X) - p(-X)/p(X) + p(-X)\} = \tanh(\lambda/X)$ (Fig. 1C, Inset). At the center of the actin density profile, the net polarity vanished and the net-polarity gradient was given by the inverse of the mean actin length, corresponding to $\Phi(0) = 0$ and $\Phi(0/\lambda) = 1/\lambda$, respectively. Thus, the shorter the average length of the filaments, the steeper the gradient of net polarity. Because the pattern spacing was significantly larger than the mean filament length ($L \gg \lambda$), all filaments had almost the same polarity near each of the nucleation lines: $\Phi(\pm L/2) = \pm 1$.

Active Centering of Myosin-Coated Beads. We tracked the trajectories of 200-nm beads that were coated with either myosin Va or heavy meromyosin II (hereafter called “myosin V” and “myosin II,” respectively, for simplicity) after they landed on the actin network, here by sedimentation from the bulk. Both types of myosin-coated beads showed directed trajectories toward the midline of the network, hereafter called the center (Fig. 2A, B, E, and F). Remarkably, although there were enough long filaments in the network to guide myosin-based transport all of the way to the other side of the pattern (SI Appendix, Fig. S1), the beads appeared unable to move a significant distance past the center, where the net polarity of the actin network vanished, accumulating there (Fig. 2C and G). As a result, the steady-state distribution of bead positions was peaked, in contrast to the flat distribution of initial bead positions corresponding to the first detection of the beads in the network (Fig. 2D and H). We considered that beads had reached steady state when their trajectories lasted at least 200 s (Materials and Methods and Fig. 2B and F). On average, active cargo transport by myosin V or myosin II positioned the beads precisely at the center of the antiparallel actin network.

As a control, we also studied transport of beads passivated with bovine-serum albumin (BSA) (Fig. 2I–L). These beads displayed a diffusive exploration of the space between nucleation lines, with trajectories that could start near a nucleation line and later explore regions near the opposite nucleation line (Fig. 2I and J). Passive beads could thus travel long distances across the midline of the pattern. Accordingly, the distribution of bead positions at steady state was nearly flat (Fig. 2K and L). We note that passive interactions with the actin network or the substrate nevertheless resulted in a small centering effect: the steady-state distribution was slightly but significantly more peaked than the distribution of initial positions (compare dashed and solid lines in Fig. 2L).

Ensemble Analysis of Bead Transport. To characterize bead transport, we computed the time- and ensemble-averaged squared displacement of the beads—the mean squared displacement (MSD) $\text{MSD}(\tau)$—as a function of the lag time $\tau$. We recall that this relation can be written as $\text{MSD}(\tau) = 2D\tau$ for a purely diffusive 1-dimensional transport characterized by a diffusion coefficient $D$, whereas a purely convective transport of velocity $V$ should obey $\text{MSD}(\tau) \propto V^2\tau^2$. In the case of beads coated with myosin V, motion analysis along the axis of the actin filaments ($x$ axis) (Fig. 1A) revealed that the relation $\text{MSD}(\tau)$ was intermediate between those expected for pure diffusion and convective transport, corresponding to persistent diffusion with $\text{MSD}(\tau) \propto \tau^{1.2}$ ($\tau < 50$ s) (Fig. 3A). This behavior betrayed directed movements driven by myosin V activity toward the midline of the pattern (Fig. 2A and B). Along the perpendicular axis ($y$ axis) (Fig. 1A), the MSD was smaller and displayed a subdiffusive behavior $\text{MSD}(\tau) \propto \tau^{0.8}$ ($\tau < 80$ s) (Fig. 3A).

Fig. 1. Bidimensional network of antiparallel actin filaments in vitro. (A) Fluorescence imaging (Upper) and schematic representation (Lower) of the actin architecture. Actin filaments grew from a surface pattern of parallel lines (green) coated with a nucleation-promoting factor of actin polymerization. The filaments are oriented along the $x$ axis, thus perpendicular to the nucleation lines ($y$ axis), with their barbed ends located away from the lines. An antiparallel network of overlapping actin filaments was established between neighboring nucleation lines. The line at the right end of the pattern has no neighbor on its right-hand side and thus provides a parallel network of filaments. (B) Actin density profile for the parallel network. This semilog plot shows that the profile is well described by an exponential function (red line). The inverse absolute slope of the curve corresponds to the mean length of actin filaments in the network. (C) Actin density profile for the antiparallel network. The profile is well described (red line) by the sum of 2 mirror-symmetric exponentials with the same characteristic length as that obtained in B. In B and C, the nucleation lines are marked by the green shaded areas. (Inset) The net polarity $\Phi(X) = \tanh(X/\lambda)$ of the antiparallel network is plotted as a function of position $X$, where $\lambda$ represents the mean actin length measured in A; the net polarity is null at the center of the network, where $X = 0$. Nucleation lines are spaced by 40 µm and have a width of 3 µm. The actin density profiles were measured after 30 min of polymerization.
II-coated beads but with the important difference that no confinement was observed at long times for passive beads (Fig. 3C). In return, the saturation of the MSD that we observed with myosin II-coated beads betrayed the activity of the motors, which strived to maintain the beads near the center of the network.

Spatial Diffusion and Drift Profiles. Because the density and net polarity of the actin network varied with position along the x axis, the transport properties of the beads were expected to be inhomogeneous. Spatial heterogeneities were averaged in the calculation of the MSDs (Fig. 3), which corresponded to ensemble averages of bead displacements, irrespective of their position in the network. To reveal the effects of the actin architecture on transport, we determined the local diffusion coefficient \(D(X)\) and drift velocity \(V(X)\) of the beads as a function of position \(X\) (Materials and Methods and Fig. 4). We observed that the drift velocity of the beads varied like the net polarity of the network (Fig. 1C, Inset); the bead velocity saturated to a maximal (absolute) value near the nucleation line and declined (in absolute value) as the beads approached the center, changing sign there (Fig. 4A and D). In addition, the local diffusion coefficient displayed a local minimum near the center, where there was less actin (Fig. 4B and E).

Remarkably, the measured steady-state distributions of bead position (dashed lines in Fig. 4C and F) were well described by those predicted from a diffusion-drift process \((D_D)\) with the measured velocity and diffusion profiles (solid lines in Fig. 4C and F). In a diffusion-drift process, a convective flux \(J_c = P_{DD} V\) transporting the beads toward the center of the network competes with a diffusive flux \(D_D = -D \frac{p_{DD}}{dX}\) that homogenizes the bead distribution. At steady state, because there is no apparent collective directional movement, the total flux must obey \(J_c + J_D = 0\), which yields \(P_{DD}(X) = P_\theta \exp \left( -\int_0^X \frac{V(u)}{D(u)} du \right)\).

Because \(V(X)\) is odd and \(D(X)\) is even, the distribution \(P_{DD}(X)\) shows a peak at \(X = 0\). The variance of bead position associated with a Gaussian approximation to the peak is given by \(\sigma_{DD} = D(0)/V(0)^2\), where the prime corresponds to a spatial derivative. Thus, the steeper the velocity gradient and the lower the diffusion, the narrower the distribution. Notably, as the result of their larger speeds, myosin II-coated beads displayed steeper gradients \(V'(0)\) than myosin V-coated beads (Fig. 4G). However, diffusion coefficients \(D(0)\) were also much larger with myosin II than with myosin V (Fig. 4H). The standard deviation \(\sigma\) of bead position characterized the precision of bead positioning. We found \(\sigma_M = 3.2 \pm 0.6 \mu m\) with myosin V and \(\sigma_{II} = 4.1 \pm 0.3 \mu m\) with myosin II (mean \pm SEM; \(n = 7\) experiments) (Fig. 4I). Thus, active bead positioning at the center of the network was more precise with myosin V by 25%. Note that these standard deviations are significantly smaller by a factor 2 or more than the characteristic length \(A\) of the net polarity gradient. Thus, bead positioning by motors was more precise than the length scale that characterizes structural heterogeneities of the actin network.

Three-State Model of Bead Transport. To understand the physical origin of active bead positioning in antiparallel filament networks, we worked within the framework of a 3-state model (6). A bead could be in one of the following 3 states (Fig. 5A): (state 1) attached to a filament oriented in the positive direction and moving along this filament at velocity \(v_+\), (state 2) attached to a filament oriented in the negative direction and moving along this filament at velocity \(-v_+\), or (state 3) detached and freely diffusing with a diffusion coefficient \(D_0\). We considered a uniform detachment rate constant \(k_{OFF}\) from the attached states 1 and 2 to the detached state 3. In contrast, attachment rate constants depended on the local density \(\rho(X)\) of filaments of any given polarity: \(k_{-31}(X) = k_{ON} \rho^+(X)\) and \(k_{-32}(X) = k_{ON} \rho^-(X)\). Thus,
in the model, beads are more likely to attach to a filament of a given polarity when the corresponding filament density is larger than the density of filaments with the opposite polarity; this ingredient is key, because it provides a mechanism for sensing the net polarity of the network. Within this framework, we define 2 characteristic timescales: the mean time \( t_{ON} = 1 / k_{OFF} \) spent in an attached state (state 1 or 2) and the mean time \( t_{OFF} = 1 / (k_{ON} \rho_0) \) spent in the detached state (state 3), where \( \rho_0 = \rho^+ (0) + \rho^- (0) \) is the total actin density at the center \((X = 0)\) of the network. Note that the mean time spent in the detached state depends on the total actin concentration and thus on position in the network; we use here as a reference the value of this characteristic time at the center, \( u_{OFF} \). We also define 2 characteristic length scales: the mean distance \( \ell_B = v_{ON} t_{ON} \) by which a bead travels along a filament in an attached state—the “ballistic length”—and the distance \( \ell_D = \sqrt{D_{OFF} t_{OFF}} \) that controls the MSD of a bead in the detached state as a result of Brownian motion—the “diffusion length.” In practice, we used exponential density profiles \( \rho^\pm (X) = (\rho_0 / 2) \exp (\mp X / \lambda) \) that mimicked those observed in experiments (Fig. 1C).

We simulated the stochastic dynamics of beads—called “model beads” in the following (SI Appendix); at the start of the simulations, the model beads were randomly distributed in the antiparallel network. With 2 length scales and 2 timescales, we could operate in 4 distinct regimes of motion (SI Appendix, Fig. S3). In the regime of high attachment rates \( (\tau_{ON} \gg \tau_{OFF}) \), model beads are expected to move at velocity \( \pm v \) near the edges of the antiparallel network, where \( |X| \gg L \gg \lambda \) and the net polarity \( \Phi(X) \approx \pm 1 \). However, the ensemble-averaged bead velocities that were measured at these positions in the antiparallel network (Fig. 4A and D) or at all positions in parallel networks (SI Appendix, Fig. S1) were almost 1 order of magnitude smaller than the natural velocity of the motors as estimated in standard gliding assays (1). To account for this observation, we had to ensure in our simulations that the attachment probability of a bead was low (on the order of 10%) and thus, choose \( \tau_{ON} \ll \tau_{OFF} \). With this choice, we were able to reproduce (Fig. 5C) the active funneling observed with myosin-coated beads (Fig. 2B and F) when the model beads in our simulations traveled comparable distances in the attached and detached states \((\ell_B \sim \ell_D)\). In this regime, the steady-state distribution of the model beads was peaked at the center of the network (Fig. 5C and F). Strikingly, by continuously increasing \( \ell_B \) while keeping \( \ell_D \) at a fixed value, we found that the standard deviation of model bead position at steady state first decreased and reached a minimum at \( \ell_B = (1.0 \pm 0.1) \ell_D \) (mean ± standard deviation), before increasing again (Fig. 5H and I). Thus, there is an optimum of centering precision when the ballistic length equals the diffusion length.

A hierarchy of length scales emerged naturally in the model: \( L > \lambda > \ell_B \sim \ell_D \). The mean actin length \( \lambda \), which sets the length scale of the net polarity gradient of the antiparallel network, had to be smaller than the size \( L \) of the network to ensure that the network was structurally inhomogeneous. Importantly, the condition \( \lambda > \ell_B \) ensured that the bead trajectories in the attached state were short enough to sample the net polarity gradient from detachment–reatachment events. Finally, centering required that the beads did not diffuse too far in the detached state \( (\ell_B \sim \ell_D) \) (Fig. 5 and SI Appendix, Fig. S4) to keep a directionality of motion toward the center.

Provided that the density of the network varies slowly with position \((\lambda \gg \ell_B, \ell_D)\), we could calculate analytically an effective drift velocity \( v_{EFF}(X) \) and an effective diffusion coefficient \( D_{EFF}(X) \) for the model beads (SI Appendix, section 3). In the experimentally relevant limit of frequent detachment \((\tau_{ON} / \tau_{OFF} \ll 1)\), we found \( V_{EFF}(X) \equiv - \lambda \alpha \sinh(X / \lambda) \) and \( D_{EFF}(X) \equiv D_A + (\ell_B / \tau_{OFF}) \alpha \cosh(X / \lambda) \). Notably, over a broad range of parameter values \((0.1 < \ell_B / \ell_D < 10)\) (SI Appendix, Fig. S6), the steady-state distribution of model bead position was well approximated by that given by a diffusion-drift process using these velocity and diffusion profiles: \( P_0(X) \equiv P_0 \exp \left( \int_0^X \frac{V_{EFF}(x)}{D_{EFF}(x)} \mathrm{d}x \right) \). As in experiments, this distribution peaked in the center \((X = 0)\); the active transport process brought the beads, on average, to the position where the net polarity of the network vanishes. Using a Gaussian approximation of the peak (SI Appendix, Eq. S13), we estimate the variance of model bead position

\[
\sigma^2_{\text{eff}} \approx \ell_B \left( 1 + (\ell_D / \ell_B)^{1/2} \right) \Phi(0),
\]

where the polarity gradient at the center \( \Phi(0) = 1 / 4 \) is given by the mean filament length. In quantitative agreement with numerical estimates (Fig. 5H and I), the relation between the variance \( \sigma^2_{\text{eff}} \) and the ballistic length \( \ell_B \) (Eq. 1) shows a minimum.

**Fig. 3.** Global analysis of bead transport. The MSDs along the \( x \) axis (i.e., parallel to the actin filaments; solid black lines) and along the \( y \) axis (i.e., perpendicular to the actin filaments; dotted black lines) are plotted as a function of the lag time (\( \tau \)) for myosin V-coated beads (A), for myosin II-coated beads (B), and for BSA-coated beads (C). In all cases but for myosin V-coated beads, the relation is well described by MSD(\( \tau \)) = 2D\( \tau \) for \( \tau < 100 \) s, corresponding to a diffusive 1-dimensional transport with a diffusion coefficient \( D \). Fits to the data (cyan) yield: \( D_v = 0.062 \pm 0.004 \mu m^2/s \) \( (\tau < 10) \) and \( D_{II} = 0.038 \pm 0.002 \mu m^2/s \) \( (\tau = 205) \) for myosin V-coated beads, \( D_v = 0.119 \pm 0.001 \mu m^2/s \) and \( D_{II} = 0.054 \pm 0.001 \mu m^2/s \) \( (\tau = 973) \) for myosin II-coated beads, and \( D_v = 0.129 \pm 0.002 \mu m^2/s \) and \( D_{II} = 0.052 \pm 0.001 \mu m^2/s \) \( (\tau = 538) \) for BSA-coated beads. At long times \( (\tau > 100) \), the MSD of myosin II-coated beads along the \( x \) axis saturates (dashed line), revealing confinement, but not along the \( y \) axis. Along the \( x \) axis, the relation MSD(\( \tau \)) for myosin V-coated beads is superlinear for \( \tau \leq 50 \) s, corresponding to persistent diffusion with MSD(\( \tau \)) \( \propto \tau^{1.23} \) (power law fit for \( \tau \leq 50 \) s with MSD(\( \tau \)) \( = 0.9998; [1.21, 1.25], 95\% \) confidence bounds; red solid line), and shows signs of saturation at larger lag times. This behavior is associated with subdiffusion along the \( y \) axis according to MSD(\( \tau \)) \( \propto \tau^{0.9998; [0.79, 0.82]} \), 95\% confidence bounds; red dotted line). For each value \( \tau \) of the lag time, the MSD was first time averaged along each bead trajectory of longer duration than \( \tau \) and then, ensemble averaged over all of the trajectories that were detected.
at \( t_B = t_D \), where \( \sigma_B^2 | (t_B = t_D) = 2 B_0 / \phi(0) \). Clearly, at fixed \( t_B \), \( \sigma_B^2 \) is minimal at \( t_B = 0 \).

By fitting the theory to our experiments, we were able to get numerical values for the parameters in the model. The actual value \( t_D / t_B \) is uncertain, but our theory indicates that this ratio must display an upper bound (~4 for myosin II, ~1.5 for myosin V) (SI Appendix, section 3) to account for the observed minimum of the effective diffusion coefficient at the center of the network (Fig. 4 B and E and SI Appendix, Fig. S5). In the limit of small \( t_D \), parameter values are listed in Table 1. In particular, we inferred the ballistic length \( t_B = \sigma_B^2 / \lambda \) from the measured values of the standard deviation \( \sigma_B \) of bead position (Fig. 4 I) and of the mean actin length \( \lambda \) (Fig. 1 B). We found \( t_B = 0.77 \pm 0.39 \mu m (n = 11) \) for myosin V that was smaller than the value \( t_B = 1.25 \pm 0.47 \mu m (n = 16) \) for myosin II. This condition is required by Eq. 1 (with \( t_D / t_B \geq 0 \)) to ensure that bead positioning in a given actin network was more precise than for myosin V (Fig. 4). Near the optimal precision of positioning (\( t_D / t_B \approx 1 \)), the ballistic length is half the value estimated when passive diffusion is ignored (\( t_D / t_B \approx 0 \)).

Irrespective of the actual value of \( t_D / t_B \), the model predicts that the precision of bead positioning (Eq. 1) should not depend on the size (\( L \)) of the actin network. This prediction was actually confirmed experimentally (SI Appendix, Fig. S2A). In addition, the standard deviation \( \sigma_B \) of bead position is expected to depend on the total actin density \( \rho_0 \) at the center as can be seen by rewriting Eq. 1 as \( \sigma_B^2 = \delta B (1 + \rho_C / \rho_0) \), in which \( \rho_C = D h / (\tau_0^2 k_{on} ) = \rho_0 / (t_D / t_B) \) corresponds to the critical actin density at which \( t_D = t_B \). In the regime where the actin density is low enough \( (\rho_0 < \rho_C) \), the variance of bead position ought to be inversely proportional to the actin density \( \rho_0 \). Conversely, at high actin densities \( (\rho_0 > \rho_C) \),
the positioning precision should only weakly depend on the total actin density \( \rho_0 \). Increasing the concentration of monomeric actin in the polymerization mix from 2 to 5 μM had no significant effect on the measured value of \( \sigma \) (SI Appendix, Fig. S2B), suggesting that the condition \( \rho_0 \geq \rho_C \) was satisfied and therefore that \( \ell_B \geq \ell_D \) in our experiments.

**Discussion**

In this paper, we have presented a minimal in vitro assay to study active cargo transport in mixed-polarity networks of cytoskeletal filaments. Focusing on the acto-myosin system, we found that myosin-coated beads can sense the net polarity of the network, which provides a cue for directed movements. Accordingly, the velocity of bead motion varied with the net actin polarity and its absolute value decreased to 0 at positions where the polarity vanished (Fig. 1C, Inset and Fig. 4A and D). As a result, the beads were actively trapped at these positions, providing a general mechanism of cargo positioning in mixed-polarity networks that does not depend on specific molecular recognition between a cargo and its target (21).

At steady state, the myosin-coated beads were confined within a region (Fig. 4) that was significantly smaller than the characteristic length scale associated with the net polarity gradient of the antiparallel network, which was here set by the mean actin length \( \lambda \) (Fig. 1). Theoretical analysis via a coarse-grained model revealed that positioning depends not only on the steepness of the net polarity gradient but also on an interplay between the ballistic length \( \ell_B \) and the diffusive length \( \ell_D \) of the myosin-coated beads (Eq. 1). The ballistic length corresponds to the mean length that the myosin-coated beads travel in an attached state to actin before detaching and, in turn, probe the net polarity of the network. As long as \( \ell_B > \ell_D \), the shorter the ballistic length, the finer the sampling of the net polarity profile of the network, and thus, the more precise the positioning (Fig. 5). However, the ballistic length cannot be too short for precise positioning: diffusive transport becomes limiting when \( \ell_B < \ell_D \). Our model suggests that precise positioning emerges, because the ballistic length \( \ell_B (\sim 1 \mu m) \) is shorter than the mean actin length \( \lambda (\sim 8 \mu m) \). Within our theoretical framework, active transport is described as a stochastic sequence of processive runs and diffusive searches that results in a biased random walk toward a direction dictated by the net polarity gradient.

An even simpler theoretical approach had been previously developed to describe dynein-driven transport in the mixed-polarity network of microtubules found in dendrites (7). However, this earlier work ignored diffusion in a detached state of the cargo and cannot thus describe the condition for optimal bead positioning that we reveal here (Fig. 5 H and I). Our description extends a theory developed originally to capture endosomal transport during the asymmetric division of sensory organ precursors in *Drosophila* (6); we here consider slowly varying density profiles of antiparallel filament networks instead of overlapping homogeneous networks of opposite polarities.

Excluded volume interactions between motor particles can lead to traffic jams, which shape the particle distribution at steady state (22). However, in our experiments, the surface fraction of the network occupied by the myosin-coated beads was so low (e.g., \( \sim 10^{-4} \) for the myosin II-coated beads shown in Fig. 2G) that we could safely neglect steric bead interactions. Unidirectional transport has also been extensively studied in the context of cylindrical organelles, such as filopodia or stereocilia. There, predicted motor distributions are critically controlled by boundary conditions due to the influx of motors at the open end of the half-closed tube describing the geometry of those systems (23–25). In our experiments, beads instead enter the network by sedimentation from the bulk in a direction perpendicular to the actin sheet. The sedimentation flux is uniform and very small (e.g., 0.025 beads per second distributed over an area 40 × 350 μm of the actin network in the experiment corresponding to data shown in Fig. 2 F–H). In addition, the width of the experimental bead distribution is 1 order of magnitude smaller than the width of the actin network (\( L = 40 \mu m \)) and vanishingly small at the boundaries (nucleation lines) (Fig. 2). Thus, at the edges, the bead influx along the axis of the filaments must be small.

Myosin-coated beads could in principle interact with multiple filaments of mixed polarity, resulting in a tug-of-war (26, 27). However, a tug-of-war is inherently unstable, resulting in bidirectional movements and bead switching between filaments (5, 13). Although we did not explicitly account for bead interaction with multiple filaments in our description of transport (SI Appendix), we observed that positioning was more precise in our simulations (SI Appendix, Fig. S7) when the beads were allowed to switch directly between the 2 attached states (states 1 and 2) (Fig. S4). In this case, analytical calculations in the small diffusion limit (\( L > \lambda > \ell_B > \ell_D \)) revealed that the variance of the steady-state distribution of bead position was also given by Eq. 1, albeit with a renormalized ballistic length \( \ell_B^{eff} = \ell_B v / \ell_D \) (SI Appendix). With direct filament switching, the ballistic length was reduced. This is because the effective mean time \( \tau_B^{eff} \) of a run in a given direction when the beads are attached to the filaments—the persistence time—is reduced by direct filament switching. Note that, in the absence of switching, the persistence time is simply given by the mean attachment time \( 1 / k_{OFF} \). The beads may also sense the net polarity of the network by switching only (i.e., without ever detaching from the filaments) and thus position themselves at the center of the antiparallel network (SI Appendix, Fig. S8). However, this mechanism would produce much steeper velocity gradients than those reported here, and the diffusion profile would display a maximum at the center, in contradistinction to our observations (Fig. 4 B and E and SI Appendix, Fig. S9).

### Table 1. Parameter values

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>Value for myosin V</th>
<th>Value for myosin II</th>
<th>Relation to other parameters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \Delta V_{OFF} (0) (s^{-1}) )</td>
<td>Measured velocity gradient at ( X = 0 )</td>
<td>( (8.4 \pm 2.5) \times 10^{-3} )</td>
<td>( (12 \pm 2) \times 10^{-3} )</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( D_{OFF} (0) (\mu m^2/h) )</td>
<td>Measured diffusivity coefficient at ( X = 0 )</td>
<td>( 0.06 \pm 0.02 )</td>
<td>( 0.13 \pm 0.04 )</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \alpha = t_{ON} / t_{OFF} )</td>
<td>Ratio of mean durations in bound and unbound states</td>
<td>( 0.17 \pm 0.02 )</td>
<td>( 0.05 \pm 0.04 )</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( k_{OFF} (s^{-1}) )</td>
<td>Detachment rate from actin filaments</td>
<td>( 0.6 \pm 0.3 )</td>
<td>( 1.6 \pm 0.6 )</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( k_{ON} (0) (s^{-1}) )</td>
<td>Attachment rate to actin filaments</td>
<td>( 0.1 \pm 0.07 )</td>
<td>( 0.08 \pm 0.04 )</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \ell_B (\mu m) )</td>
<td>Ballistic length</td>
<td>( 0.77 \pm 0.39 )</td>
<td>( 1.25 \pm 0.47 )</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Rows 2 and 3 show measured values of the velocity gradient and of the diffusion coefficient of myosin-coated beads at the center (\( X = 0 \)) of antiparallel actin networks (same data as in Fig. 4 G and H). Rows 4 to 7 show parameter values inferred from our theoretical description of bead transport using the relations shown in the last column with motor velocity values of \( v = -0.46 \) and 2 μm/s for myosin V and myosin II, respectively. We assumed here a vanishing diffusion length \( \ell_D = \ell_B = 0 \).
We studied active transport of beads coated either with myosin Va or skeletal heavy meromyosin II. Both types of motors are double-headed molecular motors with movements directed toward the barbed ends of the actin filaments, thus away from the nucleation lines of actin polymerization (Fig. 1). However, their biophysical properties as well as their functions in vivo differ strongly (28). Myosin V is a processive molecular motor involved in intracellular transport (29), whereas skeletal myosin II is a nonprocessive motor involved in muscle contraction, not in cargo transport. However, both types of myosin-coated beads displayed similar transport behaviors down the net polarity gradients of antiparallel actin networks, resulting in bead positioning (Fig. 2). Our data add to the available evidence (30–32) that nonprocessive motor molecules, here myosin II, can be turned into a processive transporter if the motors work as a group on the cargo.

Positioning was more precise with beads coated with processive myosin V than with nonprocessive myosin II motors. Local analysis of bead velocity and diffusion revealed that the positioning could be described by a diffusion-drift process, for which the standard deviation of bead position is set by the ratio of an effective diffusion coefficient and an effective velocity gradient (Eq. 4). The velocity gradient was larger by a factor ~1.5 with myosin II than with myosin V. However, the effective diffusion coefficient was larger by a bigger factor (~2.2) with myosin II, resulting in less precise cargo positioning with this motor type. Our 3-state model of active bead transport reveals that the key motor property that controls positioning precision (Eq. 1) is actually the ballistic length \( \ell_B = v/\ell_{OFF} \), which is given by the ratio of the bead velocity \( v \) in an attached state to actin and the bead detachment rate \( \ell_{OFF} \).

Although myosin II and myosin V motors have been extensively characterized at the single-molecule level (28), beads are each transported by a group of motors. How multiple motor molecules coordinate or impede their movements to collectively mediate cargo motion remains unclear (11, 33). Relating the stochastic properties of bead dynamics to known properties of single motors is an avenue for future research but is beyond the scope of this work. Generally, increasing the number of motors on a bead is expected to increase the ballistic length \( \ell_B \) (32). As already discussed above, whether increasing \( \ell_B \) results in more precise positioning actually depends on how the ballistic length compares with the diffusive length \( \ell_D \) (Fig. 5).

Our analysis of active transport indicates that bead positioning depends not only on intrinsic motor properties but also on the architecture of the actin network (Eq. 1). In particular, the lower the mean actin length \( \lambda \) and thus the steeper the net polarity gradient (Fig. 1C, Inset), the sharper the positioning of the beads at the center of the antiparallel network. This condition holds true as long as the ballistic length \( \ell_B \) remains smaller than \( \lambda \) to ensure centering (i.e., \( \sigma < \lambda \)). In addition, the precision of bead positioning can depend on the total actin density but only at densities that are low enough so \( \rho_0 \ll \rho_c = D_0/(\ell_{OFF}) \). In this case, the higher the actin density, the more precise the positioning. At high actin densities (\( \rho_0 \geq \rho_c \)), positioning instead becomes independent on the total actin density as observed in our experiments (SI Appendix, Fig. S2B). Altogether, our results emphasize the interplay between intrinsic motor properties and the architecture of the actin network for active positioning of myosin-transported cargoes.

Materials and Methods

Micropatterning of an Actin Nucleation-Promoting Factor. We developed surface micropatterns of an actin nucleation-promoting factor, hereafter called pWA, which is a fragment of the Wiskott-Aldrich Syndrome protein (WASP) comprising the proline-rich sequence, the WASP homology-2 domain, and the acidic domain (34). Details of the micropatterning protocol have been published elsewhere (18, 19). In short, glass coverslips were oxidized with an oxygen plasma for 3 min and incubated with 0.1 mg/mL poly(lysine)-graft-poly(ethylene glycol) (Jenkon Technology) in 10 mM 4-(2-hydroxyethyl)-1-piperazineethanesulfonic acid at pH 7.4 for 30 min. The passivated surface was exposed to deep ultraviolet light (wavelength: 180 nm; UVO Cleaner Unit 342; Jelight Company Inc.) for 5 min through a transparent micropattern that was drawn on a chrome-metallized quartz photomask (Toppan Photomasks). The coverslips were then incubated with 1 μM pWA in a buffer containing 50 mM KCl, 1 mM MgCl₂, 1 mM ethylene glycol-bis(β-aminoethanol)-N,N,N',N'-tetraacetic acid (EGTA), and 10 mM imidazole-HCl (pH 7.8) for 10 min. In our experiments, the pattern was typically composed of 7 parallel lines that were 3 μm wide, 350 μm long, and spaced by 40 μm.

Actin Polymerization. To induce actin polymerization from surface micropatterns of the nucleation-promoting factor pWA, 4 μM globular actin (Tebu-Bio), 12 μM profilin, and 10 mM Arp2/3 complex (Tebu-Bio) were mixed in a buffer containing 10 mM imidazole-HCl (pH 7.8), 50 mM KCl, 1 mM MgCl₂, 2.6 mM disodium adenosine triphosphate, 56 mM dithiothreitol, 0.1 mg/mL glucose, 3.7 μM catalase, 37.3 μM glucose oxidase, and 0.4% (w/vt) macroporous viscosity 800 (CP) methylcellulose. To visualize actin filaments, 20% of the monomers were labeled with a fluorophore (Alexa568; Life Technologies). A Peltier element and feedback control (Warner Instruments) ensured that experiments were performed at a temperature of 27 °C. A surface micropattern of 7 parallel actin nucleation lines resulted in 6 identical anti-parallel networks of overlapping actin filaments.

Bead Functionalization with Myosins. To study cargo transport in actin networks, we added functionalized beads to the actin polymerization solution described in the preceding section. We used polystyrene beads of 200 nm in diameter (Life Technologies). A 1-μL volume of a bead stock at 0.1% (w/vt) corresponding to ~2.3 × 10⁵ beads was added to 24 μL of a buffer containing 80 mM KCl, 10 μM ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid, 1 mM EGTA, and 10 mM imidazole-HCl. The bead suspension had a concentration of 40 μg/mL. The beads were washed by centrifuging the solution at 20,000 × g for 30 min at 4 °C and by the replacing 1/5 of the supernatant with the same volume of buffer. After dispersing the beads in the solution by manual trituration followed by sonication during 30 s, we added 3 μL of a myosin solution at 0.44 μg/mL and allowed the myosin molecules to absorb onto the surface of the beads for an incubation time of 10 min. Finally, a volume of 3 μL of BSA at 10% (w/vt) was added to passivate the portion of the beads’ surface that was not occupied by the myosin. The initial concentration of the myosin in the solution was 47 nM. For bead transport studies, the concentration of functionalized beads was adjusted by dilution to ~6 × 10⁵ μL⁻¹. We used one of 2 types of myosin molecules. First, recombinant double-headed myosin Va missing the C-terminal globular tail was produced as described (35). Second, heavy meromyosin II, purified from rabbit pectoral muscle, was provided by the group of Matthias Rief, Technische Universität München, Munich, Germany. In control experiments with passive beads, we omitted to add myosin and instead, fully passivated the bead surface with BSA.

Microscopic Observation. Our samples were viewed through a ×20 objective (numerical aperture [NA] = 0.75) of a spinning disk confocal microscope (Eclipse Ti; Nikon); this low magnification allowed us to record hundreds to thousands of bead trajectories. We recorded time-lapse videos with a charge-coupled-device camera (CoolSNAP HQ2; Photometric) at a framerate of 3 s. In the plane of focus, the pixel size was 1.2 μm. Time-lapse recordings started 10 min after the injection of the polymerization mix into the flow chamber and lasted for 20 min. Note that the functionalized beads were already present in the solution used for actin polymerization at the initiation of the polymerization process. For the characterization of the actin network fluorescence profiles (Fig. 1), we used a ×40 objective (NA = 0.75).

Single-Particle Tracking. We automatically measured bead trajectories using TrackMate (36), a single-particle tracker developed as a plugin for the image-processing software ImageJ (NIH). bead tracking was performed with a time resolution of 3 s corresponding to the frame rate of our time-lapse videos. An experiment produced up to 5,000 trajectories. We filtered the data in 2 ways. First, we cut the portions of any trajectory for which the standard deviation of the bead position remained below 0.1 μm during at least 45 s. Some beads took several minutes to start moving after they appeared in the field of view, showed long pauses in their trajectory before moving again, or stopped until the end of the video. Any given trajectories could thus be parsed in 2–5 tracks per trajectory during a 20-min recording. Second, we retained only the tracks that explored a region that could be inscribed in a disk with a diameter larger than 2 μm, thus rejecting shorter tracks. This procedure rejected about half of the available tracks. Tracks were typically collected from 6 identical lanes of the surface micropattern (see above), each corresponding to an area 350 × 40 μm. We assumed translational invariance of the actin network in a direction parallel to the nucleation lines as well as...
periodicity in the perpendicular direction (defined as y and x axes, respectively) (Fig. 1). These features allowed for data folding as well as spatial averaging.

In our experiments, there was a slow continuous flux of beads from the bulk to the plane of observation within the actin network. Different beads thus appeared at different times on the actin network. Any given frame of a time-lapse video in turn showed a mix of “old” beads that were given enough time to reach steady state and of “young” beads, mainly positioned near the nucleation lines, which were on route toward the center of the antiparallel actin network (Fig. 2). To estimate the steady-state distribution of bead positions, we selected the tracks of the mobile beads (∼100 tracks) that lasted at least 200 s; each bead position \( X(t = T_{0}) \) was then registered at time \( t = T_{0} = 200 \) s after the start of its track, and we computed the corresponding distribution \( P_{0}(X) \). The duration \( T_{0} \) corresponds to the travel time of a bead moving at a mean velocity 0.1 μm/s from a nucleation line to the center of an antiparallel network if the spacing between nucleation lines is 40 μm. To allow for easy comparison of the bead trajectories along the x axis (Fig. 2 B, F, and J), the time origin of each track was reset to the time when the bead first appeared in the time-lapse video.

Profiles of Drift Velocity and Diffusion Coefficient. We computed the profiles of the local drift velocity \( V(X) \) and of the local diffusion coefficient \( D(X) \) of the beads as a function of bead position \( X \). To estimate \( V(X) \) and \( D(X) \), we measured the distribution of displacements \( \Delta X(X) \) during a fixed time interval \( \tau \) of all of the beads \( i = 1, \ldots, N_{X} \) that were found during their trajectory at a given position \( X \) with a precision of 0.1 μm and computed the mean value \( \langle \Delta X(X) \rangle = \langle X_{i} \rangle \) and its variance \( \langle (\Delta X(X))^{2} \rangle - \langle (\Delta X(X)) \rangle^{2} = 2D(X)\tau \).

At each position \( X \), the errors on \( V(X) \) and \( D(X) \) were calculated as \( V(X) \sqrt{\langle (X_{i} - \langle X \rangle)^{2} \rangle} \) and \( D(X) \sqrt{\langle (X_{i} - \langle X \rangle)^{2} \rangle} \), respectively. Knowing the profiles \( V(X) \) and \( D(X) \), we calculated the probability density \( P_{0d}(X) = P_{0} \exp( -\int_{0}^{X} V(x) / D(x) \ dx) \) of bead positions expected from a diffusion-drift process at steady state. In practice, we used \( \tau = 12 \) s; we checked that the estimated probability density \( P_{0d}(X) \) remained nearly unchanged for variations of \( \tau \) in the range 3 to 24 s. Over a timescale \( \tau \), the beads moved on average by less than 100 nm, and the net polarity of the actin network (Fig. 1 C, Inset) varied by less than 2%. The calculated distribution \( P_{0d}(X) \) was confronted to the bead distribution \( P_{0}(X) \) measured directly by the procedure described above (Fig. 4 C and F).
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