Lecture 4 30 Oct, 'Z3 Last time, we learned: · How to factorize a Hamiltonian $H = A^{+}A$ So that its susy-partner $\widetilde{H} = AA^+$ could be found • That Hand If have degenerate spectra except for the ground state. · How to use this to: - relate one fl w/ another to solve 2 problems for the price of 1. - Baild up the solution of the Coulomb problem directly. We won't do much more with SUSY-QM in the further, but it will pop up in supprising places on occasion -> so stay tuned! Actually, we can use SUSY to introduce a major topic - quantum delect theory!

the rest few weeks in fact.

This comes from: U. Kosteledy + M.M. Nieto, "Evidence lar a Phenomenological Supersymmetry in Atomic Physics" PRL 53 2285 (1984) These authors start from the SUSY partner pofentiales that we used, $V^{(1)} = -\frac{1}{r} + \frac{l(l+l)}{2r^2} + \frac{1}{2(l+l)^2},$ $V^{(2)} = -\frac{1}{r} + \frac{(l+l)(l+2)}{2r^2} + \frac{1}{2(l+l)^2},$ end den claim: since H⁽²⁾ = - 2 en + U⁽²⁾ has the same spectrum as $H^{(1)} = -\frac{1}{2} d_{n+1} U^{(1)}$ but with the yound state removed, then H(") describes a system with the Is orbital venovel.

So: in the absence of Sector-electron interactions, H⁽¹⁾ is the Hamiltonian of Li!

 e^{-in1s} $li: r^{ie} = 2s$ H:

The authors then go on to claim that this means that atomic spectra contain endered Car supersymmetry! (And that this supersymmetry is broken. by e-e interactions.)

How to step justify this claim? With experiment, of course!

TABLE I. Energy differences (in units of 10^4 cm^{-1}) between selected levels of the alkali-metal atoms (Ref. 6). The best agreements with the H levels are underlined and are those that one would expect from the discussion in the text. $\rightarrow 4 \text{ max}$

	Н		Li			Na		(NOT
n	s/p/d	S	р	d	S	р	d	ŝ,
8-9	0.0360	0.0416	0.0366	0.0360	0.0607	0.0496	0.0362	Vean
6-7	0.0808	0.0980	0.0826	0.0810	0.164	0.124	0.0814	
4-5	0.245	0.329	0.255	0.247	0.746	0.477	0.249	
3-4	0.533	0.781	0.555	0.534	2.57	1.33	0.538	
2-3	1.52	2.72	1.60	· · ·				

Although agreement between the underlined traction seems pretty good you night find this live of argument a bit fisty.

We are going to compute the spectrum of a Ryd atom in a rather nourdabout way, for reasons that will become clear later. So let's just get started with ...

TWO-BODY LOULOMB SCATTERING

Incident plane wave Scotlered wave Lunction going to a letector Asymptotic wave Runetian describing this scenario: $\psi(\vec{r})_{r \rightarrow \infty} = e^{ikz} + f(\theta, \alpha) e^{ikr}$

We want to obtain a solution of the Schrödinger equation, $\left(\frac{\vec{p}^2}{2n} + \frac{\vec{z}_1\vec{z}_2}{r} - \frac{k^2}{2}\right) \Psi = 0,$ Satisfying the boundary conditions complied by that scattering solution. This problem is conventently solved in parabolic coordinates, defined: $3 = n + 2 = r(1 + \cos \theta)$ $N = r - 2 = r(1 - \cos \theta)$ $0 \to \infty.$ Q = Q. $z = \frac{5 - n}{5 + n}$ r= 3+n. W:+4: $\nabla^{2} \Psi = \frac{\Psi}{\Xi + n} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \left(\frac{3}{\partial \xi} \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial \xi} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial n} \left(n \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial n} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\Psi} \left(\frac{1}{\xi} + \frac{1}{n} \right) \frac{\partial^{2} \Psi}{\partial u^{2}} \right]$ and dV= 4(3+n)dEdnde. $V = \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{2}} = \frac{Q}{\sqrt{2}} = \frac{ZQ}{3+N},$ Thus:

The SE is therefore $-\frac{1}{2}\nabla^{2}\Psi + \frac{2Q}{3tn}\Psi = E\Psi$ $-3 (3+n) \nabla^2 \Psi - Q \Psi = E (3+n) \Psi.$ Usual trich: assume a separable solin: 4= U(3)V(n)eind And then plug in / divide... $\frac{1}{u(s)} \left(\frac{1}{3} u'(s) \right)^{1} + \left(\frac{-m^{2}}{4s} + \frac{E^{3}}{2} \right) \approx Q_{1}$ + $\frac{1}{V(n)} \left[\left(\frac{1}{V} \right)^{\prime} + \left(\frac{-m^2}{4n} + \frac{En}{2} \right) \right] \leftarrow \mathcal{Q}_2$ Thus: Q1+Q2=Q (all constant!) \rightarrow $(\xi \mu' l\xi))' + (\frac{-m}{4\xi} - Q_i + \frac{\xi}{\xi}) \mu(\xi) = 0$ and $(NV'(N))^{1} + (\frac{m^{2}}{4n} - Q_{2} + \frac{1}{2}n)V(n) = 0$ Once we solve these two equations, we'll have our solution. But let's consider again the Scattering B.C. S, Namely $\mathcal{L}_{\gamma \rightarrow 00} \rightarrow \text{Scottered} + \mathcal{C}_{1}^{1} \mathcal{T} = \mathcal{C}_{2}^{1} (3-n)$ Serve we have a zimurhal symmetry letis also geleet just mão to gove

By defining 4= ethe \$ (3, 2), we see that our sep solin looks (the $k = e^{\frac{iks}{2}} f_1(s) e^{\frac{-ikn}{2}} f_2(n)$ u(s) V(n)So rewriting the DEs in D cn terms of Here new solutions gives: Screetch $\left(\frac{3\left[\frac{1}{2}k - \frac{1}{2}e^{-\frac{1}{2}k} + \frac{1}{2}e^{-\frac{1}{2}k}\right]^{1} + \left(\frac{1}{2}s - \frac{1}{2}e^{-\frac{1}{2}k}\right) - \frac{1}{2}e^{-\frac{1}{2}k} + \frac{1}{2}e^{-\frac{1}{2}$ + $3 \stackrel{c}{=} f_1 \stackrel{i}{=} 3 \stackrel{f_1}{=} \stackrel{i}{=} + \left(\frac{\mu^2}{y} - Q_1 \right) \stackrel{f_1}{=} - 0$ -> $3 \stackrel{f_1}{=} \stackrel{i}{=} + \left(1 + \frac{\mu^2}{y} \right) \stackrel{f_1}{=} \frac{1}{y} + \left(\frac{\mu^2}{y} - Q_1 \right) \stackrel{f_1}{=} - 0$

 $\longrightarrow 3 f_{i}^{"}(5) + (1 + ik_{5})f_{i}^{'}(5) + (\frac{ik}{2} - q_{i})f_{i}(\frac{1}{2}) = 0$ and $N f_{2}^{"}(h) + (1 - ik_{1})f_{2}^{'}(h) + (-\frac{ik}{2} - q_{2})f_{2}(h) = 0.$

These are known diffy-Q's! $y = -ik_{\xi} - \frac{3}{3} = \frac{1}{-ik}$ $f''(\xi) = f''(\xi) \cdot \frac{d^{2}y}{d\xi^{2}}$ $= f''(\xi) \cdot (-ik)^{2}$ -) We get $yf_{1}''(y) + (1 - y)f_{1}'(y) - (\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\alpha_{1}}{\alpha_{1}})f_{1}(y) = 0$

This equ, and the similar one for fz, is the defining the CONFLUENT HYPER GEOMETRIC FUNCTION $-\frac{c_1}{F} = F(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{Q_1}{1} - \frac{1}{1} - \frac{1}{6} \frac{g_2}{g_1})$ This is a very useful special function in Rydberg physics land elsewhere), so it deserves some special attention. To get the notation straight, E(a;b;x) obeys the DE

 $\times F'(a, b, \lambda) + (b-\lambda) F'(a, b, \lambda) - a F(a, b, \lambda) = 0.$ You can easily check that:

 $F(a;b;x) = 1 + \frac{a}{b} \frac{x}{1!} + \frac{a(a+1)}{b(b+1)} \frac{x^2}{2!} + \dots$ $= \Gamma(b) \qquad \sum \Gamma(b-a)\Gamma(a+k) \times k$ $\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b-a) \stackrel{k=0}{=} \Gamma(b+k) \stackrel{k}{=}$ gatisfies the DE (at least the constant purt (s casy to check). (Note: this is the regular solin as x-50, and b cannot be a negative integer).

To chede if our solutions about the asymptotic boundary conditions, we will reed the agymptotic behavior of this! Let's use some I -fue identities ... $\Gamma(z+1) = Z \Gamma(z) \quad and$ $\Gamma(b-a) \Gamma(a+k) = \left(t^{a-1+k} (1-t)^{b-a-1} dt\right)$ Γ(a) Γ(b-a), Jo - ''' We want the x-700 asymptotic farm. To get this, let's gplit up the integral into two parts: $F = \lambda \int_{-\infty}^{-\infty} e^{xt} a^{-1} (1-t)^{b-a-1} dt$ + $\lambda \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{xt} t^{a-1} (1-t)^{b-a-1} dt$ In green: let t=-W/x. In blue: let t = 1-u/x

 $= \lambda (-x)^{-\alpha} \int_{\mathcal{O}} e^{-\omega} w^{\alpha-1} \left(1+\frac{\omega}{2} \right)^{b\alpha-1} d\omega$ $+ \lambda x^{\alpha-b} + \int_{\mathcal{O}} e^{-\omega} w^{b-\alpha-1} \left(1-\frac{\omega}{2} \right)^{\alpha-1} d\omega$ Woohoo! We now have an asymptotically small parameter, where and u/x, in both integrals! , insert the binomial expansion $(1-\frac{u}{x})^{u-1} \longrightarrow 1 - (u-1)^{u/x} + \dots$ We actually only read the leading order term 1 because this gives us some very friendly $\frac{1}{\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-uup'} du = \Gamma(p) }{\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-uup'} du = \Gamma(p) }$

Thus: as X -> 00,

 $F \rightarrow \lambda (-x)^{-\alpha} \Gamma(a) + \lambda x^{+\alpha-b} e^{x} \Gamma(b-a)$ $= \frac{\overline{\Gamma}(b)}{\Gamma(b-a)} \left(\frac{-\chi}{a} + \frac{\overline{\Gamma}(b)}{\Gamma(a)} \chi^{a-b} e^{\chi}\right)$

This is a very important property of Flajbj x) []]

Let's veturn to f, fr, which are: \$, (3)= F(1/2- @1/2kj1j-2k3) $f_2(n) = F(1/2 + O^2(\varepsilon_k) + j(\varepsilon_k))$ AS 3, N >>> we can inspect our solution to see if it obeys BL's! $\mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{z})\mathcal{V}(n) \longrightarrow \Gamma(\mathfrak{l}) \qquad \qquad \Gamma(\mathfrak{l})$ F(12+ EQ) F(1/2-EQ1/2) F(1/2-EQ2) FT(1/2+ EQ2) · [[(++:@)(:ks)]/2-:01/2 -: (+3 +T [1/2-20])(-: ks)]/2+:01 -: (ks/2] • $\left[\Gamma \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{i Q_2}{2} \right) \left(- \frac{i k n}{2} + \frac{i Q_2}{2} \right) \left(- \frac{i k n}{2} + \frac{i Q_2}{2} \right) \left(\frac{i k n}{2} + \frac{i Q_2}{2} \right) \left(\frac{i k n}{2} - \frac{i Q_2}{2} \right) \left(\frac{i q Q_2}{2} \right) \left(\frac{i q Q_2}{2} - \frac{i Q_2}{2} \right) \left(\frac{i q Q_2}{2} \right) \left(\frac{i q Q_$ Yihes, what a mess! But recall: the solu should look like: $\frac{\varphi - \varphi e^{ihz} + f(\theta) e^{ihn}}{r}$ $\frac{- \varphi e^{ihz} + f(\theta) e^{ihn}}{2} + f(\theta) e^{ihn}$ This only has outgoing waves in \$ Soeverything in the messabore which has e == in it MUST GO! $\rightarrow \overline{1'(1/_{z+z}, \overline{Q_1})} \rightarrow \infty$

Thus we know what Q, must be: $Q_1 = ik + nik, N = O_1, 2...$ (heep in mind : what we are really doing is making sire that $P(1/2 - iQi/k) \rightarrow 0$. But serve $\Gamma((12+2Q)/k)$ r-funcs only have poles, no zeros, the denom must blow the func up! And this happens when $l_2 + 2Q_1 = -n$ $\rightarrow Q_1 = + n(k + \frac{k}{2})$ When we impose this condition, we get the surviving 3-dependent term to be: r (- : k3) e : k3/2. But once again our BCs say: no. There are no "extra powers" of § at 3-300! So N = 0. Thus Q = Ek and Q2 must then be $Q_2 = Q - \varepsilon k/2.$

After all that pain we finally obtain: $\begin{aligned} \psi = u(s)v(n) \xrightarrow{\varsigma,n \rightarrow \infty} \underbrace{e^{\frac{ik}{2}(s-n)}(-ikn)}_{\Gamma(1+\tau Q/k)} \\ + \underbrace{e^{\frac{ik}{2}(s+n)}(ikn)}_{\Gamma(1-\tau Q/k)} \end{aligned}$ or, in a more familiar form, $-iQ_{lk} - iQ_{lk} - iQ_$ or: $e^{ikz+\frac{i}{k}Qnn}$ $ikr-\frac{iQ}{k}lnn$ $\Gamma(1+\frac{iQ}{k})(k^2)$ ikn $\Gamma(1+\frac{iQ}{k})(k^2)$

Notice these r-dependent phases - a distinctive land often annoying) feature of the loulons potential, but one which is ultimately irrelevant for most results as it is "just" a phase.

From to we can read of the scatt. amplitude. $f(t) = \frac{1}{ik(1-cost)} \left(\frac{k^2}{k} \right)^{-iQ/k} \frac{\Gamma(1+iQ)}{\Gamma(-iQ)} = \frac{-2iQ}{k} \ln(1-cost)$ $\frac{\Gamma(-iQ)}{\Gamma(-iQ)}$

And with this, the differential cross section the classical Rutherland Romata!

So, that was a lot of work to solve the proplem of 2-body Loculomb scattering for positive collesion energies E=12 lehot could this possibly have to do with Rydberg spectra?

One consistent theme I want to develop in shis course, and which will be both illustrated by and a key tool in developing our Rydberg theory is that

Lollisions and spectroscopy

ave very related, even unified, concepted