## Fluctuations in Regular and Chaotic Many-Body Systems

#### Sven Åberg, Lund University, Sweden

#### International Workshop on Chaos and Collectivity in Many-Body Systems

March 5 - 8, 2008

#### Scientific coordination:

Mahir S. Hussein MPI für Physik komplexer Systeme Dresden, Germany

#### Organisation:

Marita Schneider MPI für Physik komplexer Systeme Dresden, Germany







#### Fluctuations in many-body systems

#### Fluctuations of energy (or BCS gap) as a parameter is changed: Parameter: shape, number of particles, etc.

#### >Many-body systems:

➡ •Atomic nuclei

- •Ultracold gases of Fermionic/bosonic atoms
- •Metallic grains

•Quantum dots

#### **>**Role of dynamics: order/chaos



## Fluctuations in Regular and Chaotic Many-Body Systems

#### I. Introduction:

- (a) Quantum chaos in one-body and many-body systems
- **II.** Fluctuations of shell energy
  - (a) Ground-states in nuclei nuclear masses
  - (b) Ground-states in ultracold gases of atoms: bosonic/Fermionic
- **III. Fluctuations of BCS pairing gap** 
  - (a) Atomic nuclei
  - (b) Nanosized metallic grains
  - (c) Ultracold Fermionic gases



#### 1. One-body system:

$$H_1 = T_1 + V_1$$

i

Billiards, H-atom in B-field



Classical chaos - quantum chaos established

Dynamics determined by potential  $V_1$ 

2. Many-body system:

 $V_i$  self-consistent mean field. Determines dynamics.

$$H = \sum H_i, \quad H_i = T_i + V_i$$

*Ground states* of many-body systems: Nuclei, metallic grains, ultracold Fermi gases



W(1,2)

**Classical chaos - quantum chaos established** 

**3. Interacting many-body system:** 
$$H = \sum_{i} H_{i} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} W(i,j)$$
  
 $W(i,j)$  residual interaction

What determines dynamics, mean field  $V_i$  or res. int.  $W(i_j)$  ?

*Excited states* of many-body systems

Classical chaos - quantum chaos not established

# II. Non-interacting particles in mean field:Many-body ground states



#### Periodic orbit theory for non-interacting many-body system [1]

Using the expression for the fluctuating part of level density:

$$\rho_{osc.} = 2 \sum_{\text{periodic orbits, } p} \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} A_{p,r} \cdot \cos(rS_p / \hbar + v_{p,r})$$

the fluctuating part of the total energy for A particles is obtained:

$$E_{\rm osc}(A) = \int_{0}^{e_{\rm F}} e\rho_{osc}(e)de = 2\hbar^{2}\sum_{p}\sum_{r=1}^{\infty}\frac{A_{p,r}}{r^{2}\tau_{p}^{2}}\cos(rS_{p}/\hbar + v_{p,r})$$
  
The second moment of  $E_{\rm osc.}$  can be evaluated:  $\left\langle E_{\rm osc.}^{2} \right\rangle = \frac{\hbar^{2}}{2\pi^{2}}\int_{0}^{\infty}\frac{d\tau}{\tau^{4}}K_{D}(\tau)$ 

where K is the spectral form factor (Fourier transform of 2-point corr. function):



[1] P. Leboeuf and A.G. Monastra, Ann of Phys 297, 127 (2002)

$$E_{regular}^{RMS} = \sqrt{\langle E_{regular}^2 \rangle} = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar^2}{6\pi^2} \frac{\tau_H}{\tau_{\min}^3}}$$
$$E_{chaos}^{RMS} = \sqrt{\langle E_{chaos}^2 \rangle} = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar^2}{2\pi^2} \frac{1}{\tau_H^2}}$$

 $\tau_{min}$ : shortest periodic orbit  $\tau_{H}$ : Heisenberg time, h/ $\delta$ 

II.a Ground-states of atomic nuclei – nuclear masses

Nuclear mass:  $m(N,Z)/c^2 = Nm_n + Zm_p - (E_{L.D.} + E_{shell} + E_{error})$ 



# Autocorrelations in error in mass formuale [1]



Chaotic energy is *not* random but strongly correlated!

Supports the idea of a chaotic component in ground state

[1] H. Olofsson. S. Åberg, O. Bohigas and P. Leboeuf, Phys. Rev. Lett. 96 (2006) 042502.



# II.b Ground states of ultracold gases of atoms

#### **Trapped quantum gases of bosons or fermions**



**Dilute gas confined in V:** 

$$H = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left( \frac{p_i^2}{2m} + V(r_i) \right) + 4\pi \frac{\hbar^2 a}{m} \sum_{i < j} \delta^{(3)}(r_i - r_j)$$

S-wave scattering length, *a*, can be experimentally controlled both in size and in sign.

**Constants:** 
$$g \equiv 2\pi \frac{a}{a_{osc}}$$
  $\hbar = m = a_{osc} = 1$ 



# **Bosonic** atoms in harmonic confinement, repulsive interaction (a>0)



### Effective interaction approach to the many-boson problem

N spinless bosons in a 2D harmonic confinement interacting via short-range int. with strength g. Effective interaction derived from Lee-Suzuki method.



J. Christensson, Ch. Forssén, S. Åberg and S. Reimann, arXiv:0802.2811

# **Fermionic** atoms in harmonic confinenment, repulsive interaction (a > 0)



#### N Fermionic atoms in harmonic trap - Repulsive interaction



Shell energy:  $E_{osc} = E_{tot} - E_{av}$ 





# Super shell structure observed for g>0 [1]



Effective potential:  $V_{eff} = \frac{1}{2}\omega_{eff}r^2 + \frac{1}{4}\varepsilon r^4$ 

[1] Y. Yu, M. Ögren, S. Åberg, S.M. Reimann, M. Brack, PRA 72, 051602 (2005)

# Fluctuations of shell energy

#### Semiclassical calculation of energy fluctuation



Strong suppression of fluctuations from HO, to generic regular, to chaotic



M. Puig von Friesen, M. Ögren and S. Åberg, PRE 76, 057204 (2007)

# III Fluctuations of pairing gap [1] : Role of regular/chaotic dynamics?



[1] H. Olofsson, S. Åberg and P. Leboeuf, Phys. Rev. Lett. 100, 037005 (2008)

# III.a BCS pairing gap in nuclei -Odd-even mass difference



# Pairing gap from different mass models



Average behavior in agreement with exp. but very different fluctuations

# Pairing gap $\Delta_3^{\text{odd}}$ from different mass models



Mass models all seem to provide pairing gaps in good agreement with exp.

## Fluctuations of the pairing gap



But large deviations in fluctuations of pairing gap

## **BCS** theory

# $H = \sum_{k} e_{k} a_{k}^{+} a_{k} - G \sum_{kl} a_{k}^{+} a_{\bar{k}}^{+} a_{\bar{l}} a_{l}$ Hamiltonian: **Mean field approximation (in pairing space):** $\Delta = \left\langle G \sum_{i} a_{k}^{+} a_{\overline{k}}^{+} \right\rangle$ Pairing gap ("pairing deformation"): is determined by $\frac{2}{G} = \sum_{\mu} \frac{1}{\sqrt{(e_{\mu} - \lambda)^2 + \Delta^2}} \rightarrow \int_{-L}^{L} \frac{\rho(e)de}{\sqrt{e^2 + \Delta^2}}$



# Periodic orbit description of pairing



# Fluctuations of pairing – simple expressions

![](_page_22_Figure_1.jpeg)

Or, dimensionless ratio: $D=2R/\xi_0$ Size of system:2RCorrelation length of Cooper pair: $\xi_0=\hbar v_F/2\Delta$ 

![](_page_22_Picture_3.jpeg)

## Universal/non-universal fluctuations

$$D = \frac{\tau_{\min}}{\tau_{\Delta}} = \frac{2\pi}{g} \frac{\Delta}{\delta}$$
$$g = \frac{\tau_{H}}{\tau_{\min}}$$
 "dimensionless conductance"

Non-universal spectrum fluctuations for energy distances larger than g:

![](_page_23_Figure_3.jpeg)

Random matrix limit:  $g \rightarrow \infty$  (i.e. D = 0) corresponding to pure GOE spectrum (chaotic) or pure Poisson spectrum (regular)

![](_page_23_Picture_5.jpeg)

# Fluctuations of pairing in nuclei

Size of D: $2R=2*1.2A^{1/3}$  fmSize of system: $2R=2*1.2A^{1/3}$  fmPairing length: $\xi_0=\hbar v_F/2\Delta=11.3$   $A^{-1/4}$  fm

$$\Rightarrow$$
 D=2R/ $\xi_0 = 0.22A^{1/12} = 0.27 - 0.33$  (A=25-250)

**Cooper pairs non-localized in nuclei** 

![](_page_24_Figure_4.jpeg)

# Fluctuations of nuclear pairing gap

![](_page_25_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### Fluctuations of nuclear pairing gap from mass models

![](_page_26_Figure_1.jpeg)

### **III.b Pairing fluctuations in nanosized metallic grains**

• Discrete exc. spectrum

Work by D.C. Ralph, C.T. Black and M. Tinkham, PRL 74, 3241 (1995); PRL 76, 688 (1996)

- Irregular shape of grain  $\Rightarrow$  chaotic dynamics
  - No symmetries only time-rev. symm.
  - Energy level statistics described by GOE
- Excitation gap pairing gap (>>δ) observed for even N
- Applied B-field ⇒ gap disappears

. - 3 . . . 5

$$N \sim 10^{3} - 10^{3}$$

$$\overline{\Delta} \approx 0.38 \times 10^{-3} eV \qquad \delta = 2.1/N eV$$

$$D = \frac{2R}{\xi_{0}} = \frac{2\pi}{5.5} N^{1/3} \overline{\Delta} \approx 0.004 - 0.02 \implies F_{1} \approx 1$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{ Universal pairing fluctuations:} \qquad \sigma_{ch}^{2} = \frac{1}{2\pi^{2}} \qquad \text{(GOE limit)}$$

Universal GOE limit derived in: K.A. Matveev and A. Larkin PRL 78, 3749 (1997)

![](_page_27_Picture_10.jpeg)

### III.c Pairing fluctuations in ultracold fermionic gases

In dilute BCS region:  $\overline{\Delta} / \delta = (2/e)^{7/3} \frac{3N}{2} \exp\left(-\frac{\pi}{2k_F|a|}\right)$ (attractive interaction g < 0)  $D = \frac{2R}{\xi_0} = 2\pi (2/e)^{7/3} (3N)^{1/3} \exp\left(-\frac{\pi}{2k_F|a|}\right)$ 

Recent experiments [1] using <sup>6</sup>Li reach  $k_F|a| = 0.8$  and about 100 000 atoms gives  $\Delta/\delta=100$  000, D=60 and: negligible fluctuations of the pairing gap

However, for example, for  $k_F|a| = 0.2$ and 50 000 atoms gives  $\Delta/\delta=12$ , D=0.06 and:

 $\frac{\Delta}{\overline{\Delta}} = 1 \pm 0.24$  for regular system  $\frac{\Delta}{\overline{\Delta}} = 1 \pm 0.02$  for chaotic system

Making the system chaotic strongly supresses pairing fluctuations!

$$\widetilde{\Delta}_{RMS,regular} \, / \, \widetilde{\Delta}_{RMS,chaotic} \approx 4 \sqrt{\overline{\Delta} \, / \, \delta}$$

[1] C.H. Schunck et al, PRL **98** (2007) 050404

# SUMMARY

- I. Mixture of chaos in nuclear ground state. Supported by energy statistics, errors in masses and correlation measures.
- II. Effective interaction scheme (Lee-Suzuki) works well for many-body boson system
- III. Ultracold Fermionic gases show supershell structure in harmonic confinement. Energy fluctuations strongly supressed in generic regular and chaotic systems
- IV. Fluctuations of BCS gaps in nuclei well described by periodic orbit theory. Regular part masks possible chaotic mixture.
- V. Non-universal corrections to BCS fluctuations important
  beyond random matrix theory.