# One-Step Theory of Photoemission: Band Structure Approach

#### E. KRASOVSKII

#### Christian-Albrechts University Kiel

Dresden, 19 April 2007

# CONTENTS

- One-Step Theory
- Theory of Band Mapping
- Valence band photoemission from Al(111) and Al(100)
- Photoemission from surface states
- Photoemission from layered crystals TiTe<sub>2</sub> and VSe<sub>2</sub>
- Two photon photoemission

# Angle Resolved Photoemission: the Presence of Detector



- 1. Semi-infinite geometry (surface)
- 2. Surface sensitivity (damped waves)

PERIODIC POTENTIAL

# Surface sensitivity: computational implications

Photoemission is formulated as an initial value problem (in contrast to bulk properties - optics, XPS - that reduce to a boundary value problem)



- 1. Semi-infinite geometry: scattering states
- 2. Inelastic effects: non-Hermitean Hamiltonian

PERIODIC POTENTIAL

#### **One-Step Theory: Formalism**

First-order perturbation theory:  

$$\psi(\vec{r};\epsilon+\hbar\omega) = \int d\vec{r}' G(\vec{r},\vec{r}';\epsilon+\hbar\omega) \left[-i\nabla\right] \phi(\vec{r}';\epsilon)$$

Asymptotics at the detector  $r \rightarrow \infty$ 

$$\begin{split} \psi(\vec{r};\epsilon+\hbar\omega) &= \int d\vec{r}\,'\,\Phi_{\text{LEED}}(E_{\text{fin}},\vec{r}\,') \; [-i\nabla] \; \phi(\vec{r}\,') \\ &= \; \langle \; \text{LEED}^* \; |-i\nabla | \; \phi \; \rangle \end{split}$$

Time reversed LEED state = final state (loosely speaking)



Computational approaches to scattering: Layered Method *vs*. Bloch States



# Bloch waves approach to scattering

Two crystal half-spaces separated by a scattering region:

- Partial waves (= complex band structure) representation in each half-space
- Complete basis set in the scattering region



# Variational embedding method for scattering

Given initial conditions at  $z_s$  the function is continued up to  $z_v$  by solving the equation



method: Extended Linear APW

EK, Phys. Rev. B 70, 245322 (2004)

Application to Electron Diffraction at Very Low Energies

Treatment of inelastic effects with optical potential

#### Very Low Energy Electron Diffraction: kinetic energies 0 - 50 eV

EXPERIMENT: Transmitted current (TCS) or reflected current (VLEED)





THEORY: Scattering problem for a plane wave incident from vacuum

Band signatures in the low-energy-electron reflectance spectra of fcc metals. R.C. Jaklevic and L.C. Davis, Phys. Rev. B **26**, 5391 (1982)

#### Electron Transmission Spectra in Band Structure Theory





Elastic case: Hermitean Hamiltonian Sharp Decrease of Transmission

#### Electron Transmission Spectra in Band Structure Theory

Damped Electron Waves in Crystals. Phys. Rev. 51, 840 (1937)



![](_page_11_Picture_3.jpeg)

J. C. Slater

#### Target Current Spectroscopy of NbSe<sub>2</sub>

![](_page_12_Figure_1.jpeg)

Phys. Rev. B 66, 235403 (2002)

# Band Mapping by Angle Resolved Photoemission

How to determine the bulk band structure with a surface sensitive method.

### Geometric Band Mapping: Direct Transitions Picture

![](_page_14_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### Geometric Band Mapping: Direct Transitions Picture

![](_page_15_Figure_1.jpeg)

# **One-Step Theory of Photoemission**

![](_page_16_Figure_1.jpeg)

# Example: Al(111) – a textbook free-electron-like system

- ARUPS on large binding energy scale
- Surface state intensity enhancement at  $\hbar \omega = 50 \text{ eV}$

![](_page_17_Figure_3.jpeg)

Experiment by Jiříček, Dudr, Bartoš (2006)

![](_page_17_Figure_5.jpeg)

# Band structure interpretation of photoemission: Al(111)

Photoemission final states are timereversed LEED states.Complex band structure constituents serve as partial waves.

Band structure of Al(111) is far from free-electron-like.

Al(111) INITIAL STATES FINAL STATES ARPES 0.2 LEED hv = 48 eV $\sim^{\circ}$ L L Re k×Γ L L -12 -8 -4 0 30 40 50 60 70  $E - E_{\rm F} \,({\rm eV})$  $E - E_{\rm F} \,({\rm eV})$ 

EK, Schattke, Jiříček, Dudr, Bartoš (2006)

# Al(111) – a free-electron-like system?

#### constant initial state spectrum ARPES hv = 58 eVTI LL $\alpha$ $\operatorname{Re} k$ ×Γ Г L-8 -12 -4 0 30 40 50 60 70 $E - E_{\rm F} \,({\rm eV})$ $E - E_{\rm F} \,({\rm eV})$

ROLE OF INDIRECT TRANSITIONS

Even for aluminium the 'geometric' band mapping may be misleading.

EK, Schattke, Jiříček, Dudr, Bartoš (2006)

# INTERMEDIATE CONCLUSIONS

- The one-step theory works.
- Final states cannot be expected to be free-electron-like.
- Direct transitions picture is too optimistic.

# **Photoemission from Surface States**

# Another Method to Study Final States

# Surface state photoemission: Al (100)

![](_page_22_Figure_1.jpeg)

Hofmann et al. Phys. Rev. B 66, 245422 (2002)

from http://whome.phys.au.dk/~philip/photoemgroup/photoemhome.htm

### Surface state photoemission: Al (111)

Emission from surface states shows strong photon energy dependence: emission windows are separated by wide regions of low intensity

First observed by Kevan, Stoffel and Smith (1985). An explanation: final state broadening due to inelastic scattering

![](_page_23_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Figure_4.jpeg)

EK, Schattke, JiříČek, Dudr, Bartoš (2006)

# Surface state photoemission: Cu (111) and Al(100)

Emission from the surface state at -0.55 eV on Cu(111)

Complicated band structure at high energies: Emission intensity grows by orders of magnitude.

![](_page_24_Figure_3.jpeg)

EK and W. Schattke, Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 027601 (2004)

![](_page_24_Figure_5.jpeg)

# Valence band photoemission from layered dichalcogenides TiTe<sub>2</sub> and VSe<sub>2</sub>

![](_page_25_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Picture_2.jpeg)

# Crystal structure CdI<sub>2</sub>

# Brillouin zone

# Normal emission from VSe<sub>2</sub>: double-Bloch-wave final states

#### Interference effects in constant initial state spectrum

![](_page_26_Figure_2.jpeg)

E.K., V.N. Strocov, N. Barrett, H. Berger, W. Schattke, R. Claessen, Phys. Rev. B 75, 045432 (2007)

Interference effects: band mapping of Se 4p states in VSe<sub>2</sub>

Theoretical peak dispersion deviates from direct transitions lines: can the band structure be derived from the measured spectra?

![](_page_27_Figure_2.jpeg)

E.K., V.N. Strocov, N. Barrett, H. Berger, W. Schattke, R. Claessen, Phys. Rev. B **75**, 045432 (2007)

#### Do our senses deceive us?

![](_page_28_Picture_1.jpeg)

Spectroscopy of the Ti 3*d* band in 1T - TiTe<sub>2</sub>

Does the line shape reflect the initial state spectral function?

![](_page_29_Figure_2.jpeg)

# Spectroscopy of the Ti 3*d* band in 1T - TiTe<sub>2</sub>

Line shape changes dramatically with photon energy

What is the effect of the momentum broadening in the final state?

E.K., K. Roßnagel, A. Fedorov, W. Schattke, L. Kipp (2007)

![](_page_30_Figure_4.jpeg)

# Spectroscopy of the Ti 3d band in 1T - TiTe<sub>2</sub>

#### One-step photoemission theory accurately describes the changes of line shape

E.K., K. Roßnagel, A. Fedorov, W. Schattke, L. Kipp (2007)

![](_page_31_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Figure_1.jpeg)

# Ti 3d states in TiTe<sub>2</sub>: line shape dynamics

![](_page_36_Figure_1.jpeg)

# INTERMEDIATE CONCLUSIONS

- It is not the spectral function that is seen in the photoemission experiment.
- The spectral function can be inferred from the experiment based on the one-step theory. In particular, the hole lifetime can be determined.

![](_page_37_Figure_3.jpeg)

### Aspects of Two-Photon Photoemission

- Effect of final states on the line width is strongly reduced  $\rightarrow$  sharp peaks.
- Final states affect the intensity of two-photon photocurrent.

![](_page_38_Figure_4.jpeg)

# Resonance Two-Photon Photoemission from Si(100)

![](_page_39_Figure_1.jpeg)

# CONCLUSIONS

- The wave functions formalism for semi-infinite systems is a sound basis for *ab initio* treatment of excitations at surfaces.
- Multiple scattering is important up to 100 eV, and band structure can be resolved.
- The damped waves model of inelastic scattering provides a detailed description of measured spectra.
- Spectra are most conveniently interpreted in terms of conducting complex band structure, but band mapping should allow for the interference between Bloch states.

# COLLABORATORS

W. Schattke, Institut for Theoretical Physics CAU Kiel

K. Roßnagel, L. Kipp, Institut for Experimental Physics CAU Kiel

V. Strocov, Paul Scherrer Institut, Switzerland

I. Bartoš, P. Jiříček, Institut of Physics, Prague