A perturbed Rydberg atom

H. R. Sadeghpour ITAMP, Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics

"This book will amaze, baffle and delight ..." - Nature



A perturbed Rydberg atom

H. R. Sadeghpour ITAMP, Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics

"This book will amaze, baffle and delight ..." - Nature



Baranger ... (1958)

Impact approximation... Baranger ... (1958)

Impact approximation...

Baranger ... (1958)





Impact approximation...

Baranger ... (1958)





$$V(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{r}) = V_{e-A}(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{r}) + V_{pol}(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{r})$$



Impact approximation...

Baranger ... (1958)

$$I(\omega) \sim \frac{|\langle \beta | e\mathbf{r} | \alpha \rangle|^2}{(\omega - \omega_0 - d)^2 + (\Gamma/2 + w)^2}$$

shift width
$$w - id \equiv \Delta_{\beta\alpha} = \hbar n \langle v \sigma_{\beta\alpha} \rangle = p \frac{\hbar \langle v \rangle}{k_B T} \langle \sigma_{\beta\alpha} \rangle$$



$$V(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{r}) = V_{e-A}(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{r}) + V_{pol}(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{r})$$

$$V_{\text{pol}}(\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{r}) = -\frac{\alpha}{2R^4} + \alpha \frac{R^2 - (\boldsymbol{R} \cdot \boldsymbol{r})}{R^3 |\boldsymbol{R} - \boldsymbol{r}|^3} - \frac{\alpha}{2|\boldsymbol{R} - \boldsymbol{r}|^4}$$

$$V_{e-A}(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{r}) = V_0 \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}) - \frac{\alpha_A}{2|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}|^4}$$

Fermi observed that how Rydberg lines shifted depended on the species.... species-dependent scattering length

Contact interaction (Nuovo Cimento 11, 157(1934) pressure broadening and shift' r Rb(5s) Rb(nl) R Rb(nl)

Fermi observed that how Rydberg lines shifted depended on the species.... species-dependent scattering length

Contact interaction (Nuovo Cimento 11, 157(1934) - T pressure broadening and shift' $V_{e^--A}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{R}) = V_0 \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}) = 2\pi a_T [k(R)] \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R})$ **R**



Fermi observed that how Rydberg lines shifted depended on the species.... species-dependent scattering length



Fermi observed that how Rydberg lines shifted depended on the species.... species-dependent scattering length



 $a_T[k] = -\tan \delta_0^T(k)/k$

zero-range e⁻ scattering leads to long-range molecular binding

Energy-dependent scattering length



Energy-dependent scattering length

$$V_{e^--A}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{R}) = V_0\delta(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{R}) = 2\pi a_T[k(R)]\delta(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{R})$$







 $V_{e^--A}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{R}) = V_0\delta(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{R})$



Alkali metals in ${}^{1}S_{e}$: scattering length --- all positive; a_{T} (Rb) = 0.2 a_{0}



Alkali metals in ${}^{1}S_{e}$: scattering length --- all positive; a_{T} (Rb) = 0.2 a_{0} e⁻ - Alkaline-earth (¹Se) elastic phase shift



$$a[0] (Mg) = -2.5 a_0$$

 $a[0] (Ca) = -12 a_0$
 $a[0] (Sr) = -18 a_0$

R-matrix calculations

Bartschat + Sadeghpour (2003)

e⁻ - Alkaline-earth (¹Se) elastic phase shift





s-wave e⁻ scattering p-wave e⁻ scattering

 ${}^3\Sigma_{3\Sigma}_{\Sigma}_{3\Pi}$

s-wave e⁻ scattering p-wave e⁻ scattering ${}^3\Sigma_{3\Sigma}_{\Sigma}_{3\Pi}$



s-wave e⁻ scattering p-wave e⁻ scattering ${}^3\Sigma_{3\Sigma}_{\Sigma}_{\Pi}$





LiHe potentials - Jeung PRA 1999 undulations in BO potentials

s-wave e⁻ scattering p-wave e⁻ scattering

$$3\Sigma$$

 3Σ 3Π

 $U_n(R) = -\frac{1}{2n^2} + 2\pi a_T[k(R)]|\psi_{nd0}(\mathbf{R})|^2$



H (DON)

LiHe potentials - Jeung PRA 1999 undulations in BO potentials

Experimental Verification of Minima in Excited Long-Range Rydberg States of Rb₂

Chris H. Greene,¹ Edward L. Hamilton,² Heather Crowell,³ Cedomil Vadla,⁴ and Kay Niemax⁵ ¹Department of Physics and JILA, University of Colorado, Boulder, Colorado 80309-0440, USA ²Department of Chemistry, Northwestern University, Evanston, Illinois 60208-3113, USA ³Department of Chemistry and JILA, University of Colorado, Boulder, Colorado 80309-0440, USA ⁴Institute of Physics, Bijenicka 46, 10000 Zagreb, Croatia ⁵ISAS-Institute for Analytical Sciences at the University of Dortmund, Bunsen-Kirchhoff-Str. 11, D-44139, Dortmund, Germany (Received 1 September 2006; published 8 December 2006)

Recent theoretical studies with alkali atoms A^* excited to high Rydberg states predicted the existence of ultra-long-range molecular bound states. Such excited dimers have large electric dipole moments which, in combination with their long radiative lifetimes, make them excellent candidates for manipulation in applications. This Letter reports on experimental investigations of the self-broadening of Rb principal series lines, which revealed multiple satellites in the line wings. The positions of the satellites agree quantitatively with theoretically predicted minima in the excited long-range Rydberg states of Rb₂.



Experimental Verification of Minima in Excited Long-Range Rydberg States of Rb₂

Chris H. Greene,¹ Edward L. Hamilton,² Heather Crowell,³ Cedomil Vadla,⁴ and Kay Niemax⁵ ¹Department of Physics and JILA, University of Colorado, Boulder, Colorado 80309-0440, USA ²Department of Chemistry, Northwestern University, Evanston, Illinois 60208-3113, USA ³Department of Chemistry and JILA, University of Colorado, Boulder, Colorado 80309-0440, USA ⁴Institute of Physics, Bijenicka 46, 10000 Zagreb, Croatia ⁵ISAS-Institute for Analytical Sciences at the University of Dortmund, Bunsen-Kirchhoff-Str. 11, D-44139, Dortmund, Germany (Received 1 September 2006; published 8 December 2006)

Recent theoretical studies with alkali atoms A^* excited to high Rydberg states predicted the existence of ultra-long-range molecular bound states. Such excited dimers have large electric dipole moments which, in combination with their long radiative lifetimes, make them excellent candidates for manipulation in applications. This Letter reports on experimental investigations of the self-broadening of Rb principal series lines, which revealed multiple satellites in the line wings. The positions of the satellites agree quantitatively with theoretically predicted minima in the excited long-range Rydberg states of Rb₂.



Wednesday, September 15, 2010

Beyond s-wave ...

$$\langle k_f | V(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}) | k_i \rangle = -\frac{2\pi}{k} \sum_l (2l+1) tan(\delta_l) P_l(k_i \cdot k_f)$$
$$\langle j | V(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}) | i \rangle = -\frac{2\pi}{k} \sum_l (2l+1) tan(\delta_l) \Psi_j^*(\mathbf{R}) \Psi_i(\mathbf{R}) P_l(\nabla' \cdot \nabla/k^2)$$

Beyond s-wave ...

$$\langle k_f | V(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}) | k_i \rangle = -\frac{2\pi}{k} \sum_l (2l+1) tan(\delta_l) P_l(k_i \cdot k_f)$$
$$\langle j | V(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}) | i \rangle = -\frac{2\pi}{k} \sum_l (2l+1) tan(\delta_l) \Psi_j^*(\mathbf{R}) \Psi_i(\mathbf{R}) P_l(\nabla' \cdot \nabla/k^2)$$

$$V_{s}(\vec{r}, \vec{R}) = 2\pi A_{T}[k(R)]\delta(\vec{r} - \vec{R}) \qquad A_{T} = -\tan \delta_{0}^{T}/k$$

$$\langle \Psi_{1}|V_{p}|\Psi_{2}\rangle = -\frac{6\pi \tan \delta_{1}^{T}}{k^{3}(R)} \vec{\nabla} \Psi_{1}(\vec{R}) \cdot \vec{\nabla}' \Psi_{2}(\vec{R})$$

Beyond s-wave ...

$$\langle k_f | V(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}) | k_i \rangle = -\frac{2\pi}{k} \sum_l (2l+1) tan(\delta_l) P_l(k_i \cdot k_f)$$
$$\langle j | V(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}) | i \rangle = -\frac{2\pi}{k} \sum_l (2l+1) tan(\delta_l) \Psi_j^*(\mathbf{R}) \Psi_i(\mathbf{R}) P_l(\nabla' \cdot \nabla/k^2)$$

$$V_{s}(\vec{r}, \vec{R}) = 2\pi A_{T}[k(R)]\delta(\vec{r} - \vec{R}) \qquad A_{T} = -\tan \delta_{0}^{T}/k$$
$$\langle \Psi_{1}|V_{p}|\Psi_{2}\rangle = -\frac{6\pi \tan \delta_{1}^{T}}{k^{3}(R)}\vec{\nabla}\Psi_{1}(\vec{R})\cdot\vec{\nabla}'\Psi_{2}(\vec{R})$$



... creates two molecular classes: one which maximizes gradient perp to R, and one which maximizes gradient para to R

Weibin numerical tour-de-force

Exotic molecules?

Rb(5s)+Rb(ns); $\mu_s = 3.13$ Rb(5s)+Rb(np_{1/2,3/2}); $\mu_p = 1.67$ Rb(5s)+Rb(nd_{3/2,5/2}); $\mu_d = 1.35$

 $U_n(R) = -\frac{1}{2n^2} + 2\pi a_T[k(R)]|\psi_{nd0}(\mathbf{R})|^2$



Exotic molecules?

Rb(5s)+Rb(ns); $\mu_s = 3.13$ Rb(5s)+Rb(np_{1/2,3/2}); $\mu_p = 1.67$ Rb(5s)+Rb(nd_{3/2,5/2}); $\mu_d = 1.35$

 $U_n(R) = -\frac{1}{2n^2} + 2\pi a_T[k(R)]|\psi_{nd0}(\mathbf{R})|^2$



Rb(5s)+Rb(nl>2); $\mu_d \sim 0$ $U_n(R) = -\frac{1}{2n^2} + 2\pi a_T[k(R)] \sum_{l=l_{min}}^{n-1} \frac{2l+1}{4\pi} |R_{nl}(R)|^2$


Rb(5s)+Rb(ns); $\mu_s = 3.13$ Rb(5s)+Rb(np_{1/2,3/2}); $\mu_p = 1.67$ Rb(5s)+Rb(nd_{3/2,5/2}); $\mu_d = 1.35$

 $U_n(R) = -\frac{1}{2n^2} + 2\pi a_T[k(R)]|\psi_{nd0}(\mathbf{R})|^2$



Rb(5s)+Rb(nl>2); $\mu_d \sim 0$ $U_n(R) = -\frac{1}{2n^2} + 2\pi a_T[k(R)] \sum_{l=l_{min}}^{n-1} \frac{2l+1}{4\pi} |R_{nl}(R)|^2$



s-wave

Rb(5s)+Rb(ns); $\mu_s = 3.13$ Rb(5s)+Rb(np_{1/2,3/2}); $\mu_p = 1.67$ Rb(5s)+Rb(nd_{3/2,5/2}); $\mu_d = 1.35$

 $U_n(R) = -\frac{1}{2n^2} + 2\pi a_T[k(R)]|\psi_{nd0}(\mathbf{R})|^2$



Rb(5s)+Rb(nl>2); $\mu_d \sim 0$ $U_n(R) = -\frac{1}{2n^2} + 2\pi a_T[k(R)] \sum_{l=l_{min}}^{n-1} \frac{2l+1}{4\pi} |R_{nl}(R)|^2$



 $\frac{3}{P^{o}}$

E(meV)

300

Wednesday, September 15, 2010

Rb(5s)+Rb(ns); $\mu_s = 3.13$ Rb(5s)+Rb(np_{1/2,3/2}); $\mu_p = 1.67$ Rb(5s)+Rb(nd_{3/2,5/2}); $\mu_d = 1.35$

 $U_n(R) = -\frac{1}{2n^2} + 2\pi a_T[k(R)]|\psi_{nd0}(\mathbf{R})|^2$

Rb(5s)+Rb(nl>2); $\mu_d \sim 0$ $U_n(R) = -\frac{1}{2n^2} + 2\pi a_T[k(R)] \sum_{l=l_{min}}^{n-1} \frac{2l+1}{4\pi} |R_{nl}(R)|^2$ 0 30g+5s 30f+5s



Rb(5s)+Rb(ns); $\mu_s = 3.13$ Rb(5s)+Rb(np_{1/2,3/2}); $\mu_p = 1.67$ Rb(5s)+Rb(nd_{3/2,5/2}); $\mu_d = 1.35$

Rb(5s)+Rb(nl>2); $\mu_d \sim 0$ $U_n(R) = -\frac{1}{2n^2} + 2\pi a_T[k(R)] \sum_{l=l_{min}}^{n-1} \frac{2l+1}{4\pi} |R_{nl}(R)|^2$ 0



$$H = \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{p}^2 + V(\mathbf{r}) + \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{B}\cdot\mathbf{L} + \frac{1}{8}\left[\mathbf{B}\times\mathbf{r}\right]^2 + 2\pi A_T[k(R)]\delta(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{R})$$

Lesanovsky et al. (2005)



Wednesday, September 15, 2010

Three cases:

Three cases:

a case of

no dipole... well!





Three cases:

a case of

no dipole... well!





... a case of butterflies! large dipole







Wednesday, September 15, 2010

Stark-spectrum

substracting atomic Stark-shift



Switching gear ...



... no longer short range scattering length picture does not hold

e⁻ - dipole interaction: $\lambda(\lambda+1)/R^2 = (a-1/4)/R^2$

 $a > a_c = 0.639$ a.u. = 1.63 D Fermi-Teller dipole

... e⁻ - binds to dipole (supercritical dipole) ... negative ion forms

Switching gear ...



... no longer short range scattering length picture does not hold

e⁻ - dipole interaction: $\lambda(\lambda+1)/R^2 = (a-1/4)/R^2$

 $a > a_c = 0.639$ a.u. = 1.63 D Fermi-Teller dipole

Seth Rittenhouse... (last week)

... e - binds to dipole (supercritical dipole) ... negative ion forms

$$H = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2\mu}\nabla^2 + \left\langle nlm \left| V_{ed} \left(\vec{R} - \vec{r} \right) \right| nlm \right\rangle - \frac{\vec{d} \cdot \vec{R}}{R^3}.$$



Fig. 5. Condition on modified separation constant $\gamma = -\alpha - \epsilon$ for zeroenergy solution. Notebook 100 (D12), p. 297.

Switching gear ...



... no longer short range scattering length picture does not hold

e⁻ - dipole interaction: $\lambda(\lambda + 1)/R^2 = (a - 1/4)/R^2$

 $a > a_c = 0.639$ a.u. = 1.63 D Fermi-Teller dipole

Seth Rittenhouse... (last week)

... e - binds to dipole (supercritical dipole) ... negative ion forms

$$H = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2\mu}\nabla^2 + \left\langle nlm \left| V_{ed} \left(\vec{R} - \vec{r} \right) \right| nlm \right\rangle - \frac{\vec{d} \cdot \vec{R}}{R^3}.$$



Fig. 5. Condition on modified separation constant $\gamma = -\alpha - \epsilon$ for zeroenergy solution. Notebook 100 (D12), p. 297.

... Of dipole scattering and bound states



... Of dipole scattering and bound states



... Of dipole scattering and bound states



... large two-body scattering length (no binding)

... Entirely different physics, but with the same long-range interaction... Efimov physics

$$a/R^2$$
 $\epsilon^{(m)} = \epsilon^{(0)} exp(-2m\pi/s_0)$ so=1.0062378

... large two-body scattering length (no binding)

Universality of few-body physics large twobody interactions and few-body binding ... Entirely different physics, but with the same long-range interaction... Efimov physics

 $a/R^2 \qquad \epsilon^{(m)} = \epsilon^{(0)} exp(-2m\pi/s_0)$

s0=1.0062378

... large two-body scattering length (no binding)

Universality of few-body physics large twobody interactions and few-body binding



Greene, Nat. Phys. (2009) and Phys. Today (2010) Hulet et al, Science (2009)





von Stecher et al. (2009)

Hulet et al, Science (2009)



Λ – *doublet* molecules (OH, CH)

molecules with small rotational constants (KRb, RbCs)

Λ – *doublet* molecules (OH, CH)

molecules with small rotational constants (KRb, RbCs)

two-level system

$$H_{\Lambda} = \begin{pmatrix} -Q & -\Delta/2 \\ -\Delta/2 & Q \end{pmatrix}$$



Λ – *doublet* molecules (OH, CH)

molecules with small rotational constants (KRb, RbCs)

two-level system



$$H_{\Lambda} = \begin{pmatrix} -Q & -\Delta/2 \\ -\Delta/2 & Q \end{pmatrix}$$

$$V_{\lambda}(R) = d \left[E_c - \sqrt{(E_{\lambda}(R) - \frac{1}{R^2})^2 + E_c^2} \right]$$





Wednesday, September 15, 2010

3000









on-resonance coherent Raman transition

Wednesday, September 15, 2010





on-resonance coherent Raman transition

Wednesday, September 15, 2010





on-resonance coherent Raman transition
Coherent control of molecular orientation



 $\Delta_{\Lambda} = 0.5 \text{ GHz}$ d = 1.60D

d = 1.68D

d = 1.46D

 F_{2}

1/2

 F_1

7/2

k,

on-resonance coherent Raman transition

Coherent control of molecular orientation



 F_1

7/2

 F_{2}

on-resonance coherent Raman transition CD: $\Delta_{\Lambda} = 1.22 \text{ GHz}$ d = 1.46D



Wednesday, September 15, 2010

Higher angular momentum molecules are now accessible

Four-horsemen of chemical bonds: (ionic, covalent, hydrogen, and van der Waals)

... may now have company; ultralong Rydberg bond

