Entanglement

and

Rydberg interaction

Antoine Browaeys, Institut d'Optique, CNRS

- 1. Basics of entanglement
- 2. Entanglement and 2-atom gate using Rybderg blockade

- 1. Basics of entanglement
- 2. Entanglement and 2-atom gate using Rybderg blockade

Goal: control Rydberg interaction between few (10 – 100) atoms (No ensemble average)

Quantum state engineering

- 1. Basics of entanglement
- 2. Entanglement and 2-atom gate using Rybderg blockade

What is entanglement?



Superposition principle
$$\Rightarrow |\phi_{AB}\rangle = \sum_{nm} c_{nm} |n_A\rangle \otimes |m_B\rangle$$

Separable: $|\phi_{AB}\rangle = |\phi_A\rangle \otimes |\phi_B\rangle$

Non-separable = entangled: $|\phi_{AB}\rangle \neq |\phi_A\rangle \otimes |\phi_B\rangle$

MOST states are entangled!!

Two-level systems

 $\begin{array}{l} |\uparrow\rangle \\ \text{2-level systems: atom, quantum circuits,} \\ |\downarrow\rangle \\ \end{array}$

Rotation (e.g. polarizer, Raman, microwaves...)

$$R(\theta,\varphi) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\frac{\theta}{2} & ie^{i\varphi}\sin\frac{\theta}{2} \\ ie^{-i\varphi}\sin\frac{\theta}{2} & \cos\frac{\theta}{2} \end{pmatrix} \stackrel{\Omega}{|\uparrow\rangle,\downarrow\rangle} \stackrel{\Omega}{\theta} = \Omega t$$

Bell states

$$\begin{split} |\psi_{\pm}\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(|\uparrow,\downarrow\rangle \pm |\downarrow,\uparrow\rangle\right) \\ |\phi_{\pm}\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(|\uparrow,\uparrow\rangle \pm |\downarrow,\downarrow\rangle\right) \end{split}$$

What is so special about entanglement?



1. Perfect **correlations** (even at very large distance...!)

$$\begin{array}{l} P(\uparrow_A \mid \downarrow_B) = \frac{P_{\uparrow_A,\downarrow_B}}{P_{\downarrow_B}} = \frac{|\langle\uparrow,\downarrow|\psi_+\rangle|^2}{P_{\downarrow_B}} = \frac{1/2}{1/2} = 1\\ \end{array}$$
Cond. proba.

What is so special about entanglement?



1. Perfect correlations (even at very large distance...!)

$$P(\downarrow_A \mid \uparrow_B) = 1 \qquad P(\downarrow_A \mid \downarrow_B) = 0$$
$$P(\uparrow_A \mid \downarrow_B) = 1 \qquad P(\uparrow_A \mid \uparrow_B) = 0$$

2. You CAN NOT assigne a state to A or B = system as a whole

$$\rho_A = \mathrm{Tr}_B \rho_{AB} = \frac{1}{2} |\uparrow\rangle \langle\uparrow| + \frac{1}{2} |\downarrow\rangle \langle\downarrow| \qquad \text{Statistical mixture}$$

3. You CAN NOT "dis-entangled" by a LOCAL rotation

Non Local Quantum correlations

Classification of entangled states

 $\mathcal{H}_{AB} = \mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B$ Bipartite Pure state $|\phi_{AB}\rangle \neq |\phi_{A}\rangle \otimes |\phi_{B}\rangle$ Mixed state $\rho \neq \sum p_i |a_i\rangle \langle a_i| \otimes |b_i\rangle \langle b_i| \quad (p_i \ge 0)$ **Multipartite** $\mathcal{H}_{AB} = \mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B \otimes \mathcal{H}_C \otimes \dots$ Pure state $|\phi_{ABC...}\rangle \neq |\phi_A\rangle \otimes |\phi_B\rangle \otimes |\phi_C\rangle \otimes ...$ Bi-separable $|\phi_{ABC...}\rangle = |\phi_1\rangle \otimes |\phi_2\rangle$ $n \qquad N-n$

Fully entangled: not bi-separable w/r to any bi-partition of the system

Examples of inequivalent entangled states

GHZ-state
$$|\text{GHZ}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|\uparrow,\uparrow,\uparrow\rangle + |\downarrow,\downarrow,\downarrow\rangle)$$

W-state
$$|W\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \left(|\uparrow,\downarrow,\downarrow\rangle + |\downarrow,\uparrow,\downarrow\rangle + |\downarrow,\downarrow,\uparrow\rangle\right)$$

No LOCAL rotation can transform GHZ state into W state

⇒ defines 2 **inequivalent classes** of entanglement

2 systems	1 classe	
3 systems	2 classes	PRA 62 , 062314 (2000)
4 systems	9 classes	PRA 65 , 052112 (2002)
Beyond?		

Preparation of entangled states (1)

1. Conservation laws

Radio-active decay ${}^{238}_{92}\text{U} \rightarrow {}^{234}_{90}\text{Th} + {}^{4}_{2}\text{He}$ $\alpha \bullet \longleftarrow \mathbf{U} \longrightarrow \bullet \text{Th}$ $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|p, -p\rangle + |-p, p\rangle)$



2. Some "symmetry": e.g. identical particles

Bosons 1 and 2 in two states ϕ_a and ϕ_b

$$\phi_{\text{boson}}(1,2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\phi_a(1)\phi_b(2) + \phi_a(2)\phi_b(1) \right)$$

Fermions: Pauli principle

$$\phi_{\text{fermion}}(1,2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\phi_a(1)\phi_b(2) - \phi_a(2)\phi_b(1) \right)$$

Preparation of entangled states (2)

3. Interaction between the sub-parts

$$H = H_A + H_B + H_{\text{int}}$$

$$|\psi_A\rangle \otimes |\psi_B\rangle \implies e^{-i\frac{\hat{H}t}{\hbar}} (|\psi_A\rangle \otimes |\psi_B\rangle) \neq |\psi'_A\rangle \otimes |\psi'_B\rangle$$
Examples of H_{int}: Spin orbit coupling $C\vec{L} \cdot \vec{S}$
Hyperfine structure $A\vec{J} \cdot \vec{I}$ (ground state of H)
Magnetic interaction $\frac{\vec{\mu}_A \cdot \vec{\mu}_B}{R^3}$
Generic Heisenberg H_{int}: $J\vec{S}_a \cdot \vec{S}_b$ e.g. spin 1/2 $\boxed{\int}_{|\uparrow\uparrow\rangle - |\downarrow\downarrow\rangle}$
Generation of "cluster" states: $H_{\text{int}} = J(1 + \sigma_z^{(a)})(1 + \sigma_z^{(b)})$ (Ising)
 $|\uparrow\rangle + |\downarrow\rangle_A (|\uparrow\rangle + |\downarrow\rangle_B \longrightarrow |\downarrow\downarrow\rangle + |\uparrow\downarrow\rangle + |\downarrow\uparrow\rangle + e^{i\varphi}|\uparrow\uparrow\rangle \quad \varphi = \frac{4J}{\hbar}t$

Preparation of entangled states (3)

4. Projective measurement

Prepare
$$|\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow...\rangle$$

Apply "small" rotation $|\uparrow\rangle \rightarrow |\uparrow\rangle + \epsilon |\downarrow) \qquad \epsilon \ll 1$

$$|\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow\ldots\rangle \longrightarrow (|\uparrow\rangle + \epsilon|\downarrow)_A (|\uparrow\rangle + \epsilon|\downarrow)_B (|\uparrow\rangle + \epsilon|\downarrow)_C \dots$$

$$\propto |\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow\ldots\rangle + \epsilon \left(|\downarrow\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow\ldots\rangle + |\uparrow\downarrow\uparrow\uparrow\ldots\rangle + |\uparrow\uparrow\downarrow\uparrow\ldots\rangle\right) + O(\epsilon^2)$$

Non-destructive measurement of $|\downarrow\rangle$ (e.g. with cavity)

Project onto:
$$|W\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} (|\downarrow\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow...\rangle + |\uparrow\downarrow\uparrow\uparrow...\rangle + |\uparrow\uparrow\downarrow\uparrow...\rangle)$$

Heralded entanglement (probability ε^2)

Bi-partite entanglement and non-locality



Quantification of entanglement: quantum state tomography

For N atoms
$$\hat{\rho} = \sum_{i_A, i_B, i_C \dots} \lambda_{i_A, i_B, i_C \dots} \sigma_{i_A} \otimes \sigma_{i_B} \otimes \sigma_{i_C} \dots$$

where $\lambda_{i_A, i_B, i_C...} = \langle \sigma_{i_A} \otimes \sigma_{i_B} \otimes \sigma_{i_C} ... \rangle$ (4^N-1 coefficients)

Measure $\langle \sigma_{i_A} \otimes \sigma_{i_B} \otimes \sigma_{i_C} ... \rangle \Rightarrow$ reconstruct the density matrix



e.g. Bell states of 2 ions PRL **92**, 220402 (2004)

Le **largest state** characterized: 8 ions W states, 65531 coefficients 10 hours of measurements! Too hard for "large" systems



Quantification of entanglement (1)

Bipartite ~OK: Schmidt decomposition, entropy, concurrence...

Multipartite

Pure states: generalized Bell inequalities (PRL 104, 240502 (2010))

Mixed states: no general criteria (even if you know ρ) \Rightarrow use weaker criteria



Quantification of entanglement (2)

More generally: entanglement witness operator $\hat{\mathcal{W}}$ separable state $\Rightarrow \langle \hat{\mathcal{W}} \rangle = \text{Tr}(\rho_{\exp} \hat{\mathcal{W}}) \ge 0$

$$\langle \mathcal{W} \rangle < 0 \; \Rightarrow$$
 entangled state

Examples:

Cho

1. fidelity
$$\hat{\mathcal{W}} = 1 - 2|\psi_t\rangle\langle\psi_t| \Rightarrow \langle\hat{\mathcal{W}}\rangle = 1 - 2\mathcal{F}$$

2. Energy of spin 1/2: $H = -J\vec{S}_a \cdot \vec{S}_b \ (J > 0)$

$$\begin{array}{lll} \text{Separable:} & \langle H \rangle = -J \langle \vec{S}_a \rangle \cdot \langle \vec{S}_b \rangle & \Rightarrow -\frac{J}{4} \leq \langle H \rangle \leq \frac{J}{4} \\ & \text{Singlet state:} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(|\uparrow,\downarrow\rangle - |\downarrow,\uparrow\rangle \right) \Rightarrow & \langle H \rangle = \frac{3J}{4} \\ & \text{ose} & \hat{\mathcal{W}} = 1 - \frac{4\hat{H}}{J} & \text{Useful for macroscopic measurements} \end{array}$$

Entanglement is fragile...

Atom loss
$$|\text{GHZ}_N\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow\dots\rangle + |\downarrow\downarrow\downarrow\dots\rangle)$$

 $\longrightarrow \rho_{N-1} = \frac{1}{2} |\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow\dots\rangle\langle\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow\dots| + \frac{1}{2} |\downarrow\downarrow\downarrow\dots\rangle\langle\downarrow\downarrow\downarrow\dots|$
 $\text{GHZ strongly correlated}$
While: $|W_N\rangle \longrightarrow |W_{N-1}\rangle$ W weakly correlated

Phase fluctuations (fluctuating B, E field)

$$|\mathrm{GHZ}(t)\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(|\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow\dots\rangle + e^{-iN\omega t}|\downarrow\downarrow\downarrow\dots\rangle\right)$$
$$\rho_{\uparrow\uparrow\dots,\downarrow\downarrow\dots} = e^{-iN\omega t} \quad \text{and} \quad \langle e^{-iN\omega t}\rangle \propto e^{-N^2 \frac{\Delta\omega^2 t^2}{2}} \rightarrow 0$$

Decoherence faster when N large

Decoherence of a GHZ state

PRL 106, 130506 (2011)



But you can protect it...!

Start from
$$|\text{GHZ}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(|\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow\rangle + |\downarrow\downarrow\downarrow\downarrow\rangle \right)$$

Energy 0 Energy $4\hbar\omega_0$

Apply local rotation on "atom" B and D (does not change class of entanglement)

$$\xrightarrow{1} \left(\left| \uparrow \downarrow \uparrow \downarrow \right\rangle + \left| \downarrow \uparrow \downarrow \uparrow \right\rangle \right) \\ \frac{1}{2\hbar\omega_0} 2\hbar\omega_0$$

$$\xrightarrow{\text{evolution}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(|\uparrow\downarrow\uparrow\downarrow\rangle + |\downarrow\uparrow\downarrow\uparrow\rangle \right) e^{-i2\omega_0 t} \Rightarrow \begin{array}{c} \text{insensitive to} \\ \text{fluctuation of } \omega_0 \end{array}$$

Decoherence free subspace

Entanglement, measurement and classicality



Entanglement S – M – E

$$\rho_{SM} = \operatorname{Tr}_{\mathcal{E}}(|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|) = |\alpha|^2 |g, \searrow\rangle\langle g, \diagdown |+|\beta|^2 |e, \nearrow\rangle\langle e, \nearrow |$$

Statistical mixture: get $|g\rangle$ (proba $|\alpha|^2$) **OR** $|g\rangle$ (proba $|\alpha|^2$)

Entanglement = resource for quantum metrology

Frequency standard

ω₀ = 9 192 631 770 Hz



Applications: test of relativity, navigation using GPS...

Today, best atomic clock (ion based) $\Delta v/v \sim 10^{-17}$

We can do better **using entanglement**!

Entanglement = resource for quantum computation



Engineered entangled states: state-of-the art (2013)

14 ions, GHZ (F=0.51) PRL **106**, 130506 (2011)



3 supracond. qubits W (F=0.78), GHZ (F=0.62) Nature **467**, 570, 574 (2010)



3 Rydberg atom GHZ (F=0.57) Science **288**, 2024 (2000)



2 ground-state atoms Bell (F~0.75) PRL **104,** 010502, 010503 (2010)

~ 50 atoms cluster state

Mandel et al., 425, 937 (2003)



8 photons (H,V) GHZ (F=0.71)

Nat. Phot. 6, 227(2012)

A short bibliography on entanglement

Theory (from "easy" to hard...)

"Exploring the quantum", S. Haroche and J-M. Raimond Cambridge

"Quantum computing and entanglement", D. Bruss and C. Machiavello Les Houches summer school 2009 (Singapore)

"Experimental procedures for entanglement verification", PRA **75**, 052318 (2007)

"Entanglement in many body system", RMP 80, 517 (2008)

Experimental papers on quantification of entanglement

Q.A. Turchette *et al.*, PRL **81**, 3631 (1998) C.A. Sackett *et al.*, Nature **404**, 256 (2000) D. Leibfried *et al.*, Nature **438**, 639 (2005)

Review on Rydberg and QIP

Saffman RMP 82, 2313 (2010)

- 1. Basics of entanglement
- 2. Entanglement and 2-atom gate using Rybderg blockade

Trapping two atoms in tweezers: well-defined geometry



Two-photon Rydberg excitation (⁸⁷**Rb): well-defined states**



Detect atom loss = **Rydberg not trapped**

Miroshnychenko et al., PRA 82, 023623 (2010)

vdW interaction between 2 atoms

2 atoms A and B with states *ns*, (*n*-1)*p* and *np*; distance *R*

$$\hat{V} = -\frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0 R^3} \left(2\hat{\mathbf{d}}_z^A \hat{\mathbf{d}}_z^B + \hat{\mathbf{d}}_+^A \hat{\mathbf{d}}_-^B + \hat{\mathbf{d}}_-^A \hat{\mathbf{d}}_+^B \right)$$

$$\delta(n) \oint \underbrace{\frac{np, (n-1)p}{\sqrt{\delta^2 + \left(\frac{C_3}{R^3}\right)^2}}}_{ns, ns} \hat{H} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{C_3}{R^3} \\ \frac{C_3}{R^3} & \delta \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\langle nsjm; nsjm | \hat{V} | npj'm'; (n-1)pj''m'' \rangle = \frac{C_3}{R^3}$$

Ex.: $n = 60$
 $\delta = 5 - 10 \text{ GHz}, \Rightarrow \Delta E_{ns, ns} = \frac{1}{2\delta} \left(\frac{C_3}{R^3}\right)^2 = \frac{C_6}{R^6}$

$$|ns,ns\rangle' = |ns,ns\rangle + \frac{1}{\delta} \frac{C_3}{R^3} |np,(n-1)p\rangle \approx |ns,ns\rangle$$

In the **vdW approximation**: shift of $|r,r\rangle$ only...

A note on vdW interaction between 2 atoms: role of symetry

There are 3 two-atom states!



A note on vdW interaction between 2 atoms: role of symetry

There are 3 two-atom states!



A note on vdW interaction between 2 atoms: role of symetry

There are 3 two-atom states!

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|np,(n-1)p\rangle + |(n-1)p,np\rangle)$$
$$\delta(n) \oint \frac{C_3}{R^3}\sqrt{2} \frac{ns, ns}{ns, ns}$$

Still two-state model, but:
$$\frac{C_3}{R^3} \rightarrow \frac{C_3}{R^3}\sqrt{2}$$

Typical interaction strength: $C_6(43s) \approx -2.4 \text{ GHz.}\mu\text{m}^6$

 $C_6(53d) \approx 15 \text{ GHz.} \mu m^6$

C₆(82d) ≈ 8500 GHz.µm⁶

$$\Rightarrow$$
 V $_{vdw}$ ~ 1 - 100 MHz for R ~ few μm

Rydberg blockade: "addressable" version (U. Wisconsin)



Rydberg blockade: "addressable" version (U. Wisconsin)





Rydberg blockade: "addressable" version (U. Wisconsin)



Rydberg blockade: "addressable" version

The "blockade radius" picture



Blockade alone does not provide entanglement : need indistinguishability w/r excitation ⇒ collective excitation







Recall (RW approx.): $H_{\mathrm{at-L}}^{j} = \frac{\hbar\Omega}{2} \left(|r\rangle \langle g| e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r_{j}}} + |g\rangle \langle r| e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r_{j}}} \right)$ $H_{\mathrm{at-L}} = H_{\mathrm{at-L}}^{A} \otimes \hat{\mathrm{Id}}_{B} + \hat{\mathrm{Id}}_{A} \otimes H_{\mathrm{at-L}}^{B}$



Recall (RW approx.): $H_{\mathrm{at-L}}^{j} = \frac{\hbar\Omega}{2} \left(|r\rangle \langle g| e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r_{j}}} + |g\rangle \langle r| e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r_{j}}} \right)$ $H_{\mathrm{at-L}} = H_{\mathrm{at-L}}^{A} \otimes \hat{\mathrm{Id}}_{B} + \hat{\mathrm{Id}}_{A} \otimes H_{\mathrm{at-L}}^{B}$

Rydberg blockade: collective excitation (IO Palaiseau)



Rydberg blockade: collective excitation (IO Palaiseau)



Rydberg blockade: collective excitation (IO Palaiseau)



Entangled of two atoms using the Rydberg blockade



If atomic motion frozen $\Rightarrow \delta r_A \approx \delta r_B \approx 0$

Analyzing entanglement

$$|\psi_{+}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|\downarrow,\uparrow\rangle + |\uparrow,\downarrow\rangle)$$

Measure the density matrix

$$\hat{\rho} = \begin{pmatrix} P_{\downarrow\downarrow} & a & b & c \\ a^* & P_{\downarrow\uparrow} & \rho_{\uparrow\downarrow,\downarrow\uparrow} & d \\ b^* & \rho^*_{\uparrow\downarrow,\downarrow\uparrow} & P_{\uparrow\downarrow} & e \\ c^* & d^* & e^* & P_{\uparrow\uparrow} \end{pmatrix}_{|\downarrow\downarrow\rangle,|\downarrow\uparrow\rangle,|\uparrow\downarrow\rangle|,\uparrow\uparrow\rangle}$$

Extract the fidelity

$$F = \langle \psi_{+} | \hat{\rho} | \psi_{+} \rangle$$
$$F = \frac{1}{2} (P_{\downarrow\uparrow} + P_{\uparrow\downarrow} + 2 \Re(\rho_{\downarrow\uparrow,\uparrow\downarrow}))$$

Raman rotation: check coherence of the superposition



Global rotation on the two atoms: $R_{A,B}(\theta, \varphi) = R_A(\theta, \varphi) \otimes R_B(\theta, \varphi)$

$$\begin{split} |\psi_{+}\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|\downarrow,\uparrow\rangle + |\uparrow,\downarrow\rangle) \xleftarrow{\mathbf{2} \times \Omega_{\mathsf{Raman}}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|\downarrow,\downarrow\rangle - |\uparrow,\uparrow\rangle) \\ &\Rightarrow \rho(\theta,\varphi) = R_{A,B}^{-1}(\theta,\varphi) \cdot \rho \cdot R_{A,B}(\theta,\varphi) \end{split}$$

Rotation $\hat{\rho} \rightarrow \hat{\rho}_{rot} \Rightarrow$ transformes **coherence** into **population**

Measurement of entanglement



Measurement of entanglement



Atom losses during the entangling sequence



Partial state reconstruction and error budget

State prepared: $\rho_{exp} = 0.46 |\psi_+\rangle \langle \psi_+| + \rho_{\text{junk}}$

After loss correction: $\rho'_{exp} = 0.75 |\psi_+\rangle \langle \psi_+| + \rho'_{junk}$

$$\rho_{\rm exp}' = \begin{pmatrix} 0.1 & a & b & c \\ a^* & 0.38 & 0.38 & d \\ b^* & 0.38 & 0.38 & e \\ c^* & d^* & e^* & 0.12 \end{pmatrix}_{|\downarrow\downarrow\rangle,|\downarrow\uparrow\rangle,|\uparrow\downarrow\rangle|,\uparrow\uparrow\rangle}$$

Error budget $F_{pairs} = 0.75$:

Imperfect blockade0.12Technical (laser stability, sp. emission)0.1Motion of the atoms0.03

Wilk et al., PRL 104, 010502 (2010)

Influence of the motion of the atoms: how much frozen?





Influence of the motion of the atoms: how much frozen?



Coherence $\ \Re(\hat{
ho}_{\downarrow\uparrow,\uparrow\downarrow})$ reduced by 0.5

Theory: $\langle e^{ik(v_A - v_B)\Delta t} \rangle = e^{-\frac{k_B T}{M}k^2\Delta t^2} \approx 0.45$

Quantum gate using Rydberg blockade



The CNOT gate at uni. Wisconsin (1)



Table of truth: check blockade



Isenhower et al., PRL 104, 010503 (2010)

The CNOT gate at uni. Wisconsin (2)



See also: Zhang et al., PRA 82, 030306(R) (2010)

Conclusion on the gate and entanglement

Proof-of-principle: OK

But needs to improve fidelity!!

And careful with the phases...