



Non ideal trapped quantum gases

Alain Aspect

Groupe d'Optique Atomique

Laboratoire Charles Fabry de l'Institut d'Optique - Orsay

http://atomoptic.iota.u-psud.fr

Mesuma04 – Dresden – oct 2004











Non ideal

gas

Non ideal trapped quantum gases

- 1. Critical temperature shift in Rb .
- 2. Penning ionization rate constants and scattering length in He*
- 3. Roughness of atom chip trapping Non ideal potential trap





standard

methods

revisited

Non ideal trapped quantum gases

- 1. Critical temperature shift and other thermodynamics properties in Rb
- 2. Penning ionization rate constants and scattering length in He*
- 3. Roughness of atom chip trapping potential
- Critical temperature shift (F. Gerbier et al., PRL 92, 030405, 2004)
- Condensed fraction, interaction energy, equilibrium shape of a mixed profile... (F. Gerbier et al., PRA 70, 013607, 2004)

Trapped BEC: standard measurements

- Turn off the trap at t = 0
- Ballistic expansion, duration τ
- Absorption imaging

*Thermal component (Bose function, Gaussian wings): mostly thermal velocity

*Condensate (Thomas Fermi profile, inverted parabola): mostly interaction energy



- Measurements difficult at a few percent level
- Theoretical issue: expansion of an interacting mixed cloud?



Critical temperature of a trapped Bose gas



Ideal (non-interacting) trapped Bose gas



Thermodynamics limit

 $n\Lambda_T^3 = 2.612$

« Finite size » effects 2% with our parameters $\omega_{\perp}/2\pi = 413$ Hz $\omega_{z}/2\pi = 8.69$ Hz



Critical temperature of a non ideal Bose gas



Effect of interactions?

Uniform case (box)

- Theory: $T_c \square$ because of density fluctuations (a hot topics)
- Observed with dilute LHe on Vycor

Harmonic trap

- Theory: T_c □ for repulsive interaction because of density decrease at the trap center (Einstein criterium unchanged):
 W. Krauth; Giorgini et al. (1996)
- Observation?











Improved measurements in Orsay: some experimental tips



Fight shape oscillations ocurring at condensation

- Slow down evaporation near condensation (200 kHz / s)
- Hold time (1 s) with RF knife on

Excellent control of the evaporating knife position above trap bottom

• Temperature reproducibility: 20 nK

Accurate absorption measurement of atom number

• Careful calibration of absorption cross section by expansion energy measurement (relies on the value of the scattering length, acurately known from spectroscopy)

Correction for hydrodynamic effects in temperature measurements



Temperature measurement Hydrodynamic effects



Temperature measurement: fitting a Bose profile to the wings of the TOF of the cloud around T_c

Necessary to correct hydrodynamic effect for large and dense thermal clouds (elongated trap $\omega_z / \omega_\perp \square 45$). Also in Amsterdam.





Acurate determination of the critical point

cy ks

Very reproducible evap. ramps, stopped at different values of trap depth v:

- plot T, N, N_0 vs. ν
- linear fits
- find v_c
- derive $N_{\rm c}$ and $T_{\rm c}$



See estimated error bars



Critical temperature of a trapped ⁸⁷Rb Bose gas: results

- Non ideal behavior (effect of interactions) observed at the level of 2 σ
- Good agreement with mean field theory: fit of ΔT by $\alpha N^{1/6}$ yields:





• No upwards shift due to density fluctuations as predicted for homogeneous case: in agreement with predicted suppression for trapped Bose gases (Giorgini, Pitaevski, Stringari; Arnold and Tomasik)



Trapped ⁸⁷Rb Bose gas: condensed fraction





... experiment systematically (slightly) below theory

Error in temperature measurement due to interaction between thermal cloud and BEC during expansion? Theory is missing for expansion of a mixed cloud $\frac{12}{12}!$





Trapped interacting degenerate Bose gas (Rb): conclusions

Deviation from ideal gas clearly observed

Agreement with mean field theory

- Shift of critical temperature
- Self consistent Hartree Fock modeling of condensed fraction and mixed cloud profile

Observation of hydrodynamics effects in TOF of dense thermal cloud

Theory needed to better understand TOF of mixed sample (condensate and thermal cloud)

No effect observed beyond mean field for a trapped BEC: agreement with theory





Non ideal trapped quantum gases

- 1. Critical temperature shift and other thermodynamics properties in Rb
- 2. Penning ionization rate constants and scattering length in He*



3. Roughness of atom chip trapping potential

O. Sirjean et al., PRL 89(22): 220406 (2002)

S. Seidelin et al., PRL in print





- Triplet (↑↑) 2 ³S₁ cannot *radiatively* decay to singlet (↑↓) 1 ¹S₀ (lifetime 9000 s)
- Laser manipulation on closed transition 2 ${}^{3}S_{1} \rightarrow 2 {}^{3}P_{2}$ at 1.08 µm (lifetime 100 ns)
- Large electronic energy stored in He*
 - ⇒ ionization of colliding atoms or molecules
 - ⇒ extraction of electron from metal: single atom detection with Micro Channel Plate detector





He* trap and MCP detection %



Clover leaf trap

@ 240 A : $B_0: 0.3 \text{ to } 200 \text{ G};$

B' = 90 G / cm; $B'' = 200 G / cm^2$

 $\omega_z / 2\pi = 50 \text{ Hz}; \quad \omega_\perp / 2\pi = 1800 \text{ Hz}$ (1200 Hz)

He* on the Micro Channel Plate detector:

- \Rightarrow an electron is extracted
- \Rightarrow multiplication
- \Rightarrow observable pulse

Single atom detection of He*



The route to He* BEC: not such an easy way



- Strong magnetic trap (2 Bohr magnetons)
- Pros: Ultrasensitive detection scheme
 - Very rapid release scheme

 \Rightarrow Excellent TOF diagnostic

• Source of cold He* not as simple as alkalis'; vacuum challenges

- Cons: Elastic cross section *a priori* unknown at low temperature Direct measurement of rethermalization of the energy distribution after RF knife disturbance (A. Browaeys et al., PRA...): $a \approx 20$ nm (as predicted by Shlyapnikov 95, Venturi ...)
 - Penning ionization







$$\text{He}^* + \text{He}^* \rightarrow \text{He}(1\,^{1}\text{S}_0) + \text{He}^+ + \text{e}^-$$

Reaction constant $\approx 5 \times 10^{-10} \text{ cm}^3.\text{s}^{-1} @ 1 \text{ mK}$

Impossible to obtain a sample dense enough for fast thermalization?

Solution (theory, Shlyapnikov et al., 1994; Leo el al.): Penning ionization strongly suppressed (10⁻⁵ predicted!) in spin polarized He* because of selection rule (spin conservation)

$$m = 1 + m = 1$$
 \bigstar $s = 0 + s = 1/2 + s = 1/2$

Magnetically trapped He* *is* spin polarized

Preliminary experimental evidence (Amsterdam, Orsay, 1999): suppr. $< 10^{-2}$

Definitive evidence of supression $(< 10^{-4})$: BEC of He* observed (Orsay, Paris, 2001)

Evaporative Cooling to BEC







• **RF ramped down** from 130 MHz to ~ 1 MHz in 70 s (exponential 17 s)

 \Rightarrow less atoms, colder

- Small enough temp. (about 2µK): all atoms fall on the detector, better detectivity
- At 0.7µK: narrow peak, BEC



Residual Penning ionization Optique A new tool for monitoring a trapped He* BEC

• Residual ionization (He+): detected with negatively biased grid (2keV) in front of MCP in counting mode (from 10² to 10³ s⁻¹)





Real time observation of BEC birth and death on a single sample

Interpretation: ionization increases with density (2 and 3 body Penning ionization)

Quantitative if one knows the Penning ionisation rate constants



Ionization monitoring plus TOF:



a measurement of Penning ionization constants

- Complete ion rate measurement $I(t_1)$ by measurement of the spatial distribution of atoms at t_1
- \Rightarrow Switch off the trap at t_1 and observe Time of Flight of the released atoms:
 - \Rightarrow Atom number in the condensate
 - \Rightarrow Atom number and temperature in the thermal cloud

One can then know, in a given situation (at t₁):
the ion rate per atom Γ(t₁)
the atomic density n(r,t₁)

 \Rightarrow ionization rate constants β and L





Fit





For each ion rate *I*, TOF:

- $\Rightarrow N_0$ (atom number)
- \Rightarrow n_0 (density)
- ⇒ check pure BEC (thermal cloud not visible, i. e. < 10%)

$$\Rightarrow$$
 ion rate per atom $\Gamma = \frac{W}{N_0}$

to
$$\mathbf{G} = \frac{2}{7} k_2 b n_0 + \frac{8}{63} k_3 L {n_0}^2$$

> β, L : 2 and 3 body ionization



The detection efficiency and scattering length issue



A serious difficulty: determining the absolute atom number

• Absolute detection efficiency of MCP known within a factor of 2

Another difficulty: determining the absolute atomic density in the BEC

• Depend on scattering length a

Scattering length obtained from measurement of expansion velocity of a pure condensate



$$W_i \propto \left(N_0 a\right)^{1/5}$$

Coefficient accurately known: accuracy on adepends on accuracy on atom number N_0

 $a = 20 \pm 10 \,\mathrm{nm}$



Detection efficiency and scattering length issue: a solution



Our results on Penning ionization constants depend dramatically on the value of a. Photoassociation spectroscopy measurements of a?

Another solution: improve the accuracy on atom detection efficiency

Calibration based on absolute atom number derived from thermodynamics relation at BEC transition accurately

accurately measured by TOF $N_{\rm c} = f(T_{\rm c})$ accurately located by sudden rise of ion current



$$\implies a = 11.3^{+2.5}_{-1.5} \text{ nm}$$

Reasonable agreement with theory and previous measurements. Reduced error bars



Interest of independent measurements of *a*



A photoassociation spectroscopy measurement of a will be very interesting (in progress at ENS)

 \Rightarrow More accurate value expected

 \Rightarrow Independent measurement: will allow us to reinterpret our results and test various effects depending on *a* :

- critical temperature correction
- quantum depletion (30% correction in 3-body Penning ionization)

Combining different methods: a great tool

Penning ionization: an original tool for « non destructive » monitoring of a trapped He* gas





Non ideal trapped quantum gases

- 1. Critical temperature shift and other thermodynamics properties in Rb
- 2. Penning ionization rate constants and scattering length in He*
- 3. Roughness of atom chip trapping potential

mesoscopic cold atoms ensemble

J. Estève et al., Phys. Rev. A, in press

T. Schumm et al., Physics/0407094



Tübingen

MIT

BEC

L

⊙ī



Institut d'Optique In search of the cause of fragmentation

Fragmentation due to roughness of the magnetic trapping potential, due to deviations of the current flow (static, linear in current, decreasing with distance to the wire...)

Cause of deviations in current flow?



proposed D. Wang, M. Lukin, and E. Demler, cond-mat/0307402

Our approach: measure trapping potential **%** roughness and wire edges roughness





Our conclusion: wire edges roughness suffices to explain trapping potential roughness (for our chip)



Comparison of roughness power spectrum also convincing



Conclusion



For our atom chip made with electroplated gold wires (5 μm x 50 μm) on silicon wafer:

wire edges roughness suffices to explain trapping potential roughness.

New generation of trapping wires (700 nm width evaporated gold wires, pattern witten with e beam)

Encouraging results



To know more, visit Thorsten Schumm's poster



Groupe d'Optique Atomique du Laboratoire Charles Fabry de l'Institut d'Optique



ATOM CHIP BEC Jérome Estève Torsten Schumm Jean-Baptiste Trebia Hai Nguyen

BEC VERSATILE SQUALE Yann Le Coo Marie Fauquembergue Jean Felix Riou William Guérin Alain Aspect Philippe Bouyer Chris Westbrook

Electromagnet Rh KEĆ Mathilde Hogbart Andres Varon David Clément

Jocelyn Retter

K-Rb MIXTURES Gaël Varoquaux Rob Nyman Yann Le Coq Denis Boiron Isabelle Pouchoule L. Sanchez-Palencia Vathalie Wesbrook

> *He* BEC* Signe Seidelin Jose Gomes R. Hoppeler M. Schellekens A. Perrin



That's all