AG Moderne Optik

Institut für Physik

Humboldt–Universität

Berlin, Germany

Photoassociation in trapped ultracold samples with realistic molecular potentials S. Grishkevich and A. Saenz

Introduction

We investigate the influence of a trap on the photoassociation process of two atoms. As an example the formation of ${}^{6}\text{Li}_{2}$ molecules is investigated. More specifically, photoassociation of spin-polarized ${}^{6}\text{Li}$ atoms (interacting via the $a^{3}\Sigma_{u}^{+}$ potential) into all vibrational bound states of the $1{}^{3}\Sigma_{g}^{+}$ state is considered. The results obtained with realistic molecular potentials are compared to those that are yielded, if the atomic interaction is modeled by a regularized δ function (with energy independent and dependent scattering length).

Questions

What is the influence of a trap on the photoassociation rate?

How good is the simplified modeling of atomic interactions (like the approximation with a pseudopotential) for the description of photoassociation in a trap.

Note: So far, the photoassociation of long-range states in a trap has been analyzed using the pseudopotential approximation for the initial state [1].

The validity of the pseudopotential approximation has only been discussed with respect to the energy [2].



Schemes and figures



Q

Z

5

If the excited-state vibrational wavefunction extends in the region of the linear behavior of the first "trap-induced" initial state, then $f^v(\omega)$ is constant.

Photoassociation:

Photoassociation is a collision of two atoms within a light field that leads to the formation of a molecule.

The problem of two atoms interacting through a two-body potential V(r) confined in an isotropic harmonic trap can be reduced to solving the Schrödinger equation for the radial internal motion

$$\left[\frac{d^2}{dR^2} - \frac{J(J+1)}{R^2} + V_{\text{int}} + \frac{1}{2}\mu\omega^2 R^2\right]\Psi(R) = E\Psi(R) \quad . \tag{1}$$

In the trap-free case, the initial state for photoassociation is a continuum state describing two ground-state atoms. The corresponding wavefunction behaves asymptotically (for $R \to \infty$) as $\Psi_E = \sqrt{\frac{k}{\pi E}} \sin [k(R - a_{sc})]$. Within the trap all states are bound (non-dissociative). The lowest-lying discretized continuum state becomes the first "trap-induced" state (for $a^3\Sigma_u^+$ of ${}^6\text{Li}_2$ it is level v' = 10).

Influence of a trap on the continuum states:

- the wavefunctions decay exponentially at the trap wall,
- $\bullet\,$ their nodal structure and
- their now bound-state normalization factors are preserved.

Influence of a trap on photoassociation rates:

The photoassociation rate to excited vibrational level v in case of trap frequency ω is proportional to

 $I^{v}(\omega) = \left| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Psi^{v}(R;\omega) D(R) \Psi^{10'}(R;\omega) dR \right|^{2} \quad .$ (2)

 \bigvee

Interatomic separation R

In the trap-free case the photoassociation is induced from the ground-state asymptote into vibrational level of $1^3\Sigma_g^+$.



Interatomic separation R

Photoassociation in a trap. There are no continuum states anymore — only bound states are present. The lowest-lying state is the first "trap induced" bound state.





Illustration of the cut-off regime. For $\omega=2\pi\times 10 \rm kHz~v=98$ is below the cut-off, v=100 is beyond it.



The summation over all vibrational final states gives a sum rule

$$\widetilde{I} = \left\langle \Psi^{10'}(R;\omega) \left| D^2(R) \right| \Psi^{10'}(R;\omega) \right\rangle \quad ,$$

which in the case of Franck-Condon factors is equal to one. Therefore the total photoassociation yield is constant (in the Franck-Condon approximation), it is only possible to shift transition probabilities between vibrational states.

The influence of a trap on photoassociation rates can be described with the help of the ratio

$$(\omega) = \frac{I^{v}(\omega)}{I^{v}(1)},$$

(3)

(4)

where the value of the trap frequency in the denominator is fixed to $\omega=2~\pi~\times~{\rm 1kHz}$ and the one in the nominator is variable. There are three characteristic regimes for this ratio:

- Constant regime: Since the trap has almost no influence on the lowestlying vibrational levels of the $1^{3}\Sigma_{g}^{+}$ state, the only change in (2) is due to the wavefunction of the ground state. It turns out that for a certain R - range the wavefunction varies linearly with the trap frequency, $\Psi^{10'}(R;\omega) = C \cdot \Psi^{10'}(R;1)$. If $\Psi^{v}(R;\omega)$ is located within the R - range where this linear behavior occurs, one finds $f^{v}(\omega) = C^{2}$ and thus a constant change (with ω) for the photoassociation rates to all vibrational final states vfulfilling this condition.
- Non-constant regime: We found the following rule of thumb to determine those vibrational levels v for which the photoassociation rate varies non-linearly with the trap frequency: if we define a $\Delta(R) = \Psi^{10'}(R;\omega_1) C \cdot \Psi^{10'}(R;\omega_2)$, then a non-linear variation with ω is found for those v whose classical turning point is larger than R_0 with $\Delta(R > R_0) \gtrsim 10^{-3}$. The universality of this rule was also checked for Rb and K.
- Cut-off regime: If the last lobe of the final state wavefunction is positioned outside the classical turning point of the trap-induced initial state, then the photoassociation rate vanishes. For increasing trap frequencies this cut-off moves to smaller v.

Wavefunction in a trap of frequency $\omega = 2\pi \times 1 \text{kHz}$, $\omega = 2\pi \times 10 \text{kHz}$, $\omega = 2\pi \times 100 \text{kHz}$, $\omega = 2\pi \times 500 \text{kHz}$. At intermediate interatomic separation the behavior of the wavefunction is linear (see inset).



The "correct" wavefunction is compared to the pseudopotential wavefunctions with different values of the scattering length (for trap frequency $\omega = 2 \pi \times 10$ kHz). Value $a_E = -2847a_0$ corresponds to the energy-dependent scattering length (obtained from the complete solution).



Comparison of the photoassociation rate (for trap frequency $\omega = 2 \pi \times 10$ kHz) using realistic or pseudopotential wavefunctions for the ground state.



V_{δ} and $V_{\text{\tiny real}}$ atom-atom interaction potentials

For the energy-independent regularized contact potential $V_{\text{int}} = \frac{4\pi a_{sc}}{2\mu} \delta^3(R) \frac{\partial}{\partial R} R$ the solution was found analytically by Busch *at al.* [3],

$$\Psi_{n_t}(R) = \frac{1}{2} \pi^{-3/2} A R e^{-\bar{R}^2/2} \Gamma(-\nu) U(-\nu, \frac{3}{2}, \bar{R}^2).$$
(5)

The energy-independent pseudopotential approximation is supposed to be valid when $\beta_6/a_{\rm ho}\ll 1$, otherwise an energy-dependent pseudopotential should be used.

Difference in description with V_{δ} and V_{real} :

- Wavefunction $\Psi_{a_{\rm sc}}$ ignores the nodal structure, but gives correct long-range behavior.
- Wavefunction $\Psi_{a_{sc}}$ has a phase shift compared to Ψ_{real} .
- Only photoassociation rates into long-range excited vibrational levels are relatively correct (in the case of $V_{a_{\rm E}}$ they are correct).

There are some ways to change the photoassociation rate into a specific excited vibrational level: vary trap frequency, heat the sample, change a_{sc} .

Photoassociation rate for different trap frequencies.



The ratio $f^{v}(\omega)$ of equation (4) for different trap frequencies.

A change of the scattering length shifts the outer turning points of the wave functions. This influences the photoassociation rate.

References

[1] B. Deb and L. You, Phys. Rev. A **68**, 033408 (2003).

[2] D. Blume and Chris H. Greene, Phys. Rev. A **65**, 043613 (2002).

[3] T. Busch, B.-G. Englert, K. Rzazewski, and M. Wilkens, Found. of Phys. **28**, 549 (1998).