

Decoherence of Bloch oscillations of cold Fermi atoms Alexey Ponomarev^{1,2}, **Andrey R. Kolovsky**^{1,2}, and **Andreas Buchleitner**¹

LEVEL DYNAMICS

¹Max-Planck-Institut für Physik komplexer Systeme, Dresden, Germany ²Kirensky Institute of Physics, Krasnoyarsk, Russia ^{in Quantum Systems}

INTRODUCTION





Integrated level spacing distribution of the Floquet-Bloch operator :

$$\widehat{U}(T_B) = \widehat{exp} \left(-rac{i}{\hbar} \int_0^{T_B} dt \widehat{H}(t)
ight)$$



Periodic potential amended by a homogeneous static field:

$$H=H_0+Fx\;,\;\;\; H_0=rac{\widehat{p}^2}{2}+V(x)\;,\;\;\; V(x+d)=V(x)$$

Floquet-Bloch solutions:

$$\psi_\kappa(x,t)\sim\psi_{\kappa+Ft/\hbar}(x)$$
 $T_B=2\pi\hbar/dF$ – Bloch period average momentum $\langle p(t)
angle$: $\langle p(t)
angle =\int P(p,t)dp=J\sin(\omega_B t)\ , \ \ \omega_B=dF/\hbar$

COLD ATOMS IN OPTICAL LATTICES

EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

(1996) BO of non-interacting atoms (dilute gas) $W\bar{n} \ll 1$ [1,2]

Experiments on interacting atoms $W\bar{n} \sim 1$ [3,4] Bose atoms \implies decaying Bloch oscillations (BO) $\sim 2-3 T_B$ One component Fermi atoms \implies non-decaying BO $\sim 100 T_B$ Energy levels of the unperturbed Hubbard Hamiltonian, for bosons (left panel) and fermions (right panel), as a function of the parameter u (u = W, J = 1 - u). N = 5 ($N_{\uparrow} = 3, N_{\downarrow} = 2$ for the fermionic case), with periodic boundary conditions. Only the levels of $\kappa = 2\pi/L$ symmetry are shown.



THIS WORK:

Two components Fermi atoms \implies decaying BO ~ 2-3 T_B

MODEL



1D Hubbard Hamiltonian with additional static term:



Instantaneous spectrum of $\hat{H}(t)$ of the Bose (left panel) and of the Fermi problem (right panel), as a function of the parameter $\theta = Ft$. u = 0.3, N = 5 (Bose), $N = N_{\downarrow} + N_{\uparrow} = 3 + 2$ (Fermi), L = 5. Only the levels with $\kappa = 0$ and S = 1/2 (for fermions) symmetry are shown.

LEVEL STATISTICS





Bloch oscillations of the average energy for Bose (top) and Fermi (bottom) atoms. N = 5, L = 15, J = W = 1, and F = 0.2. Dashed and solid lines in the lower panel correspond to spin-polarised $(N_{\uparrow} = 5, N_{\downarrow} = 0)$ and unpolarised $(N_{\uparrow} = 3, N_{\downarrow} = 2)$ samples, respectively. The system is initially prepared in the ground state of the unperturbed 1D Hubbard Hamiltonian.

RESULTS

- Integrated level spacing distribution of the unperturbed Hamiltonian has Poissonian statistics (as expected for integrable systems)
- A static force breaks reflection (and quasi-spin, not shown here) symmetry of the 1D Hubbard model
- Integrated level spacing distribution of the Floquet-Bloch operator exhibits Wigner-Dyson statistics (CUE)
- Bloch oscillations decay irreversibly, similar to the bosonic case [6]

$$\widehat{H_0} = -rac{\sigma}{2} \left(\sum_\ell \sum_{s=\uparrow\downarrow} \widehat{b}^+_{\ell,s} \widehat{b}^-_{\ell+1,s} + h.c.
ight) + W \sum_\ell \widehat{n}_{\ell\uparrow} \widehat{n}_{\ell\downarrow}$$

Gauge transformation :

$$\widehat{H}
ightarrow \widehat{H}(t) = -rac{J}{2} \left(e^{-iFt} \sum_{\ell} \sum_{s=\uparrow\downarrow} \widehat{b}^+_{\ell,s} \widehat{b}^-_{\ell+1,s} + h.c.
ight) + W \sum_{\ell} \widehat{n}_{\ell\uparrow} \widehat{n}_{\ell\downarrow}$$

Factorisation [5]:

$$H=igoplus_{(\kappa,s,s_f)}H^{(\kappa,s,s_f)},$$

where κ - the total quasimomentum, s - the total spin, s_f - the spin flip symmetry quantum number

Integrated level spacing distribution I(s) for the unperturbed system (red solid line - Bose, blue solid line - Fermi), compared to theoretical RMT distributions:

Poisson distribution (dashed-dotted line),

$$I(s)=1-\exp(-s),\;s=rac{E_{j+1}-E_j}{\langle E_{j+1}-E_j
angle}$$

Wigner-Dyson distribution (GOE) (dashed line)

$$I(s) = 1 - \exp\left(-rac{\pi s^2}{4}
ight)$$

References

[1] M. G. Raizen, C. Salomon, and Qian Niu, Physics Today July, 30 (1997);

[2] B. P. Anderson and M. A. Kasevich, Science **282**, 1686 (1998);

[3] O. Morsch *et. al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **87**, 140402 (2001);

[4] G. Roati, et. al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **92**, 230402 (2004);

[5] E. A. Yuzbashyan, B. L. Altshuler and B. S. Shastry, J.Phys.A **35**, 7525 (2002);

[6] A. Buchleitner, A. R. Kolovsky, Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 253002 (2003); and also:

M. Greiner, C. A. Regal, D. S. Jin, NATURE 426 (6966), 537 (2003);
M. Glück, A. R. Kolovsky, and H.-J. Korsch, Phys. Rep. 366, 103 (2002);
A. R. Kolovsky, A. Buchleitner, Phys. Rev. E 68, 056213 (2003).