

Non-Dirac-hermitian Supersymmetric Quantum Systems: A Deconstruction

Pijush K. Ghosh
Department of Physics
VISVA-BHARATI
Santiniketan, INDIA

June 15-25, 2011
PHHQPX11,
MPI-PKS, Dresden, Germany

References

- [arXiv:1105.2495](#)
- JPA **44** (2011) 215307 [[arXiv:1101.2120](#)]
- JPA **43** (2010) 125203 [[arXiv:0908.1321](#)]
- JPA **38** (2005) 7313 [[quant-ph/0501087](#)]

Plan of the seminar

- The role of metric
- Methods to find the metric
- Outline of a deconstruction
- Example: non-SUSY model
- Clifford algebra: A non-Dirac hermitian realization
- Non-Dirac hermitian supersymmetric theory
 - Jaynes-Cummings Hamiltonian
 - Many-particle systems
 - Pseudo-hermitian Superconformal Symmetry
- Summary of Results

The role of metric

- A consistent quantum description of a class of non-dissipative non-Dirac-hermitian Hamiltonian is admissible
 - Entirely real spectra
 - Unitarity
 - Completeness of eigenstates
- The class is characterized by an unbroken combined \mathcal{PT} symmetry or pseudo-hermiticity of the Hamiltonian
- A modified norm and/or a positive-definite metric in the Hilbert space is required for consistency and completeness
- Explicit form of the metric or knowledge of the modified norm is not known for majority of the models

Description of this class of non-dissipative non-Dirac-hermitian Hamiltonian is thus incomplete

Methods to find the metric η_+

- Spectral Decomposition

- Prior knowledge of a complete set of states
- Involves non-trivial summation/integration
- Very often, approximations are made

- Perturbation Theory

- The description is not exact
- Effective hermitian Hamiltonian or metric is known only up to a order of the perturbation

- Moyal Product

- Very limited applications
- The description is not exact

- Group Theory

Limited applications to quantum systems with dynamical symmetry

Consequently, not many non-Dirac-hermitian quantum system with EXACT metric is known

Systems with a pre-determined metric

What about constructing Pseudo-hermitian quantum system with a pre-determined metric?

Motivations:

- Pseudo-hermitian deformation of known Dirac-hermitian quantum systems
 - Stable bound states for enlarged parameter space
 - Systematic study of allowed metric for a given system
 - Isospectral Hamiltonian
- Examples of more exactly solved non-dissipative non-Dirac-hermitian quantum systems with a complete description
 - Check validity of approximate and/or numerical methods
 - Check other relevant ideas to the field

A Method for Deconstruction

- Consider a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_{\eta_+^b}$ that is endowed with a Positive-definite metric η_+^b

Example: $\eta_+^b := e^{-\delta L_3}$, $L_3 = x_1 p_2 - x_2 p_1$, $\delta \in \mathbb{R}$

- Find a realization of the basic CCR in terms of operators which are hermitian in $\mathcal{H}_{\eta_+^b}$

Example:

$$X_1 = x_1 \cosh w + i x_2 \sinh w,$$

$$X_2 = -i x_1 \sinh w + x_2 \cosh w, \quad X_3 = x_3$$

$$P_1 = p_1 \cosh w + i p_2 \sinh w,$$

$$P_2 = -i p_1 \sinh w + p_2 \cosh w, \quad P_3 = p_3$$

$$w \equiv \delta + i\xi, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}$$

- **Hamiltonian** constructed out of X_i, P_i 's are hermitian in $\mathcal{H}_{\eta_+^b}$, **but, not necessarily in \mathcal{H}_D**
- Several examples with non-central potentials are allowed

An Example

A non-Dirac-hermitian Hamiltonian:

$$\begin{aligned}
 H &= \frac{p^2}{2m} - \frac{e^2}{r} + e\mathcal{E}X_1 \\
 &= \frac{p^2}{2m} - \frac{e^2}{r} + e\mathcal{E} (x_1 \cosh w + i x_2 \sinh w), \quad \mathcal{E} \in R
 \end{aligned}$$

Each operator B_i in \mathcal{H}_D is related to the corresponding operator A_i in $\mathcal{H}_{\eta_+^b}$:

$$A_i = (\rho^b)^{-1} B_i \rho^b, \quad \rho^b := \sqrt{\eta_+^b}$$

Example : $X = (\rho^b)^{-1} x \rho^b, \quad H = (\rho^b)^{-1} h \rho^b$

$$h = \frac{p^2}{2m} - \frac{e^2}{r} + e\mathcal{E}x_1$$

Eigenstates & eigenvalues:

$$\psi_H = (\rho^b)^{-1} \psi_h, \quad \langle \langle A \rangle \rangle_{\eta_+^b} = \langle B \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_D}$$

Example: Integrable Spin-chain models

A metric

$$\zeta_+ := \prod_{i=1}^N e^{-2\delta_i \mathcal{S}_i^z}$$

Pseudo-hermitian spin-chain model:

$$\begin{aligned} H_A = & \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} [\Gamma \left(e^{w_i - w_{i+1}} \mathcal{S}_i^+ \mathcal{S}_{i+1}^- + e^{-(w_i - w_{i+1})} \mathcal{S}_i^- \mathcal{S}_{i+1}^+ \right) \\ & + \Delta \mathcal{S}_i^z \mathcal{S}_{i+1}^z + (A_i \cosh w_i - i B_i \sinh w_i) \mathcal{S}_i^x \\ & + (B_i \cosh w_i + i A_i \sinh w_i) \mathcal{S}_i^y + C_i \mathcal{S}_i^z] \end{aligned}$$

Isospectral partner:

$$\begin{aligned} h = & \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} [\Gamma \left(\mathcal{S}_i^x \mathcal{S}_{i+1}^x + \mathcal{S}_i^y \mathcal{S}_{i+1}^y \right) \\ & + \Delta \mathcal{S}_i^z \mathcal{S}_{i+1}^z + A_i \mathcal{S}_i^x + B_i \mathcal{S}_i^y + C_i \mathcal{S}_i^z] \end{aligned}$$

- Several integrable limits [T Deguchi and PKG, JPA **42**, 475208(2009)]
- Pseudo-hermitian deformations of Dicke model, quadratic form of boson(fermion) operators, Calogero-type models have been studied

Clifford Algebra(CA) and a metric

Real Clifford Algebra:

$$\{\xi_p, \xi_q\} = 2\delta_{pq}, \quad p, q = 1, 2, \dots, 2N$$

Generators of $O(2N)$ group:

$$J_{pq} := \frac{i}{4} [\xi_p, \xi_q]$$

A positive-definite metric with N real parameters γ_i :

$$\eta_+^f := \prod_{i=1}^N e^{2\gamma_i J_{iN+i} - \gamma_i}, \quad \rho_f := \sqrt{\eta_+^f}$$

- Positivity may be shown by expressing ξ_p in terms of fermionic variables

$$\psi_i := \frac{1}{2} (\xi_i - i\xi_{N+i}), \quad \psi_i^\dagger := \frac{1}{2} (\xi_i + i\xi_{N+i})$$

- A more general metric with $N(2N - 1)$ number of real parameters is admissible

CA : A pseudo-hermitian realization

General Prescription:

$$\Gamma_p := (\rho^f)^{-1} \xi_p \rho^f$$

A particular realization:

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma_i &= \xi_i \cosh \gamma_i + i \xi_{N+i} \sinh \gamma_i, \\ \Gamma_{N+i} &= -i \xi_i \sinh \gamma_i + \xi_{N+i} \cosh \gamma_i\end{aligned}$$

- Γ_p 's are non-Dirac-hermitian and admit entirely real eigenvalues. Γ_p 's are hermitian in $\mathcal{H}_{\eta_+^f}$
- Known matrix representations of ξ_p lead to matrix representations of Γ_p
- Γ_p 's may be used to construct $2^N \times 2^N$ pseudo-hermitian and pseudo-unitary matrices depending on $2^{2N} + N$ real parameters

Complexification of real CA

Fermionic operators:

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi_i &:= \frac{1}{2} (\Gamma_i - i\Gamma_{N+i}) = e^{-\gamma_i} \psi_i \\ \Psi_i^\dagger &:= \frac{1}{2} (\Gamma_i + i\Gamma_{N+i}) = e^{\gamma_i} \psi_i^\dagger\end{aligned}$$

The algebra:

$$\{\Psi_i, \Psi_j\} = 0 = \{\Psi_i^\dagger, \Psi_j^\dagger\}, \quad \{\Psi_i, \Psi_j^\dagger\} = \delta_{ij}$$

- Ψ_i 's may be interpreted as 'fermionic operators' ψ_i with purely imaginary gauge coupling
- Fock space representation of the states are identical for ψ_i, ψ_i^\dagger and Ψ_i, Ψ_i^\dagger
- Eigenstates of the total fermion number operators in \mathcal{H}_D and $\mathcal{H}_{\eta_+^f}$ differ by a phase:

$$|f_1, \dots, f_N\rangle_{\mathcal{H}_{\eta_+^f}} = \prod_{k=1}^N e^{\gamma_k f_k} |f_1, \dots, f_N\rangle_{\mathcal{H}_D}$$

$$f_i = 0, 1 \quad \forall i$$

Supersymmetry: A brief review

Superalgebra:

$$H = \{Q, Q^\dagger\}, \quad Q^2 = 0 = Q^{\dagger 2}, \\ [H, Q] = 0 = [H, Q^\dagger]$$

By construction,

- H is hermitian \implies real eigenvalues E_n
- H is semi-positive definite $\implies E_n \geq 0$

A particular realization:

$$Q = \sigma_+ a, \quad Q^\dagger = \sigma_- a^\dagger, \quad \sigma_\pm := \sigma_1 \pm i\sigma_2 \\ a = p - i\frac{\partial W}{\partial x}, \quad a^\dagger = p + i\frac{\partial W}{\partial x},$$

$W \equiv W(x)$ superpotential

$\sigma_{1,2,3}$ are Pauli Matrices

Exact solvability for Shape Invariant potentials

SUSY & JC

Superoscillators

$$W = \frac{1}{2}x^2 \Rightarrow [a, a^\dagger] = 1$$

The Jaynes-Cummings Hamiltonian:

$$\begin{aligned} H &= a^\dagger a + \frac{1}{2}[a, a^\dagger](\sigma_3 + 1) \\ &+ \lambda (e^{i\theta} \sigma_+ a + e^{-i\theta} \sigma_- a^\dagger) \\ &= \{Q, Q^\dagger\} + \lambda (e^{i\theta} Q + e^{-i\theta} Q^\dagger) \\ &\lambda \in R, \quad 0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi \end{aligned}$$

- Exactly solvable
- SUSY can be realized for the Dicke model also
- Relevance of Dicke model: Optics, QPT, RMT, Chaos, spintronics

A non-Dirac-hermitian JC

- Consider Clifford Algebra with $N = 1$:

$$\xi_1 := \sigma_1, \xi_2 := \sigma_2, \quad \gamma_5 := \sigma_3$$

- Replace $\xi_{1,2} \rightarrow \Gamma_{1,2}, \quad \sigma_{\pm} \rightarrow e^{\pm\gamma} \sigma_{\pm}$

The non-Dirac-hermitian Hamiltonian

$$\begin{aligned} H &= a^\dagger a + \frac{1}{2}[a, a^\dagger](\sigma_3 + 1) \\ &+ \lambda \left(e^{\gamma+i\theta} \sigma_+ a + e^{-(\gamma+i\theta)} \sigma_- a^\dagger \right) \end{aligned}$$

- Entirely real spectra, unitarity,
metric $\eta_+ := I \otimes e^{-\gamma\sigma_3}$
- For Dicke model, non-Dirac-hermitian deformations
involving a, a^\dagger produces more general examples
- QPT[Deguchi and PKG, PRE**80**, 021107(2009)],
RMT[T. Deguchi, PKG and K. Kudo, PRE**80**,
026213(2009)]

Pseudo-hermitian Supersymmetry

The metric

$$\eta_+ := \eta_+^b \otimes \eta_+^f$$

- Use Γ_p or Ψ_i, Ψ_i^\dagger instead of $\xi_p, \psi_i, \psi_i^\dagger$
- Use X_i, P_i instead of x_i, p_i

SUSY Hamiltonian

$$\tilde{H} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N (p_i^2 + W_i^2 - W_{ii}) + \sum_{i,j=1}^N e^{\gamma_i - \gamma_j} W_{ij} \psi_i^\dagger \psi_j$$

$W \equiv W(X)$ is superpotential, $W_i = \frac{\partial W}{\partial X_i}$ etc.

- \tilde{H} is not hermitian in the sense of Dirac: $\tilde{H}^\dagger \neq \tilde{H}$
- \tilde{H} is pseudo-hermitian: $\tilde{H}^\dagger = \eta_+ \tilde{H} \eta_+^{-1}$
- \tilde{H} is isospectral with a Dirac-hermitian H :

$$H = \rho \tilde{H} \rho^{-1}, \quad \rho := \sqrt{\eta_+}$$

Pseudo-hermitian Superconformal Symmetry

Choice of the superpotential:

$$W = -\lambda \ln r, \quad r = \left(\sum_{i=1}^N x_i^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

leads to a pseudo-hermitian superconformal Hamiltonian.

- Pseudo-hermitian realization of $OSp(2|2)$ superalgebra
- Ground state is not even plane-wave normalizable. Neither in \mathcal{H}_D nor in $\mathcal{H}_{\eta+}$
- A compact operator constructed out of the pseudo-hermitian generators of $O(2,1)$ bosonic sub-algebra of $OSp(2|2)$ can be used to study the unitary time-evolution of the system
- Extended $SU(1,1|2)$ superconformal symmetry with pseudo-hermitian generators for $N = 2$

Summary

- Description of pseudo-hermitian quantum systems is **incomplete** without the knowledge of the positive-definite metric η_+ in the Hilbert space.

expectation values/correlation functions

×

- Finding η_+ is a highly non-trivial task for systems with infinite dimensional Hilbert space.
- A method to construct pseudo-hermitian quantum systems with a pre-determined η_+ has been described
 1. Choose η_+ and introduce \mathcal{H}_{η_+} with $\langle\langle\cdot|\cdot\rangle\rangle_{\eta_+}$
 2. Find a realization of the operators which are non-Dirac-hermitian, but, are hermitian in \mathcal{H}_{η_+}
 3. Construct diagonalizable operators in \mathcal{H}_{η_+}
- Method is applicable to non-relativistic, relativistic and supersymmetric quantum systems
- Pseudo-hermitian quantum systems thus constructed are **necessarily isospectral with Dirac-hermitian systems**

- Examples include pseudo-hermitian deformations of spin-chain models, Dicke model, quadratic form of N boson(fermi) operators, super-conformal quantum systems, Calogero-type models etc.
- These models may serve as prototype examples for testing different ideas related to the subjects, including validity of any perturbative and/or numerical computation.
- Experimental realizations of some of these systems are desirable

GRAFFITI

Unwritten first law of Physics:

Anything that is not forbidden is compulsory

THANK YOU