## Charge Correlations in a Near-critical Plasma: Simulations challenge theory Michael E. Fisher

Institute for Physical Science and Technology, University of Maryland, MD 20742, USA

In a classical plasma (or an electrolyte solution) the charge-charge structure factor obeys  $S_{ZZ}(k;T,\rho) = 0 + \xi_{Z,1}^2 k^2 - \xi_{Z,2}^4 k^4 + \cdots$ , where  $\xi_{Z,1}$  and  $\xi_{Z,2}$  are the second- and fourth-moment charge-charge correlation lengths depending on *T* and the overall ionic density  $\rho$ . The vanishing of the leading term, the first Stillinger-Lovett (SL) sum rule [1], simply reflects bulk electroneutrality. The second SL rule [1], or *second-moment condition*, dictates that  $\xi_{Z,1} = \xi_D$ , where the Debye screening length  $\xi_D$  varies as  $(k_B T / q_0^2 \rho)^{1/2}$ ,  $q_0$  being the elementary charge.

Recent grandcanonical Monte Carlo simulations [2] of a fully *size* and *charge symmetric* 1:1 (finely discretized) hard-sphere plasma or *restricted primitive model* [3], impose electroneutrality and so satisfy SL1 automatically. However, careful finite-size scaling analyses of extensive histogram-reweighted data indicates that the second-moment condition is *violated at* criticality by approximately 10%,  $\xi_{Z,1}^c$  exceeding  $\xi_D^c$ . It is also found that  $\xi_{Z,2}^4$  *diverges* to  $+\infty$  as  $T \rightarrow T_c$  in a manner that seems to mirror  $S_{NN}(0)$ , the density-density fluctuation.

These findings contradict Generalized Debye-Hückel theory [4] and also the exactly soluble *charge-symmetric* spherical models [5] both of which support SL2 *at* criticality *and* the finiteness of the fourth-moment. Nevertheless, the observed behavior is strikingly similar to that of the *charge-asymmetric* spherical models [5] where SL2 fails *at* criticality while  $\xi_{Z,2}^4$  diverges as  $S_{NN}(0)$ .

<sup>[1]</sup> F.H. Stillinger and R. Lovett, J. Chem. Phys. 48, 3858 (1968).

<sup>[2]</sup> Work with S.K. Das and Y.C. Kim (to be published).

<sup>[3]</sup> See, e.g., Y.C. Kim and M.E. Fisher, Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 185703 (2004).

<sup>[4]</sup> B.P. Lee and M.E. Fisher, Europhys. Lett. **39**, 611 (1997).

<sup>[5]</sup> J.-N Aqua and M.E. Fisher, Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 135702 (2004).

## Visual aids needed:

- (a) Two large side-by-side screens (visible to all in audience);
- (b) Two (powerful) overhead projectors for transparencies, one operating on each screen;
- (c) One table (3' x 2' or larger) for notes, etc., close to the projectors;
- (d) One long rigid (say, wooden) pointer to reach the screens (a laser or light pointer not being acceptable);
- (e) if practicable (but not essential), a small blackboard or some blackboard space.

NOTE: If the arrangements above are not standard, it will probably be necessary to set aside 10 to 15 minutes before the talk begins to check and adjust the set-up, house lights, etc.